On Neutrosophic Soft Generalized Closed Sets

Alkan Özkan¹, Şeyda Yazgan², Metin Duman¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences,

Iğdır University, Turkey

²TÜBİTAK Technical Anatolian High School,

Kocaeli, Turkey

Abstract

This study focuses on the theoretical development of neutrosophic soft generalized closed sets, building upon concepts previously introduced in the literature. We provide formal definitions, examine fundamental properties, and establish several key theorems that characterize these sets. The main structural and topological features arising from the combination of neutrosophic soft sets and generalized sets are analyzed rigorously. This work aims to deepen the understanding of neutrosophic soft generalized closed sets within topological frameworks and to lay a solid foundation for future theoretical research in this area.

Keywords: neutrosophic soft set, generalized closed set, neutrosophic soft generalized closed set, topological space.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 54A05, 54A10, 54A20.

1 Introduction

Since the beginning of human existence, individuals have faced numerous uncertainties in various aspects of life. Classical set theory, introduced by Cantor [7], is often insufficient in addressing such uncertainties. To model indeterminacy in disciplines such as mathematics, engineering, social sciences, and topology, several alternative set theories have been developed. Among these are fuzzy sets proposed by Zadeh [32], intuitionistic fuzzy sets introduced by Atanassov [4], soft sets defined by Molodtsov [19], and neutrosophic sets formulated by Smarandache [28].

In recent decades, researchers have not only studied these individual theories but also explored hybrid structures arising from their interaction. One notable extension is neutrosophic soft set theory, initially proposed by Maji [17] and further

developed by Deli and Broumi [9]. Subsequent studies introduced variations and operations on neutrosophic soft sets, including the melodic neutrosophic soft set [6], and explored their topological properties [5, 20, 21]. These structures have been applied in diverse areas such as decision-making, game theory, algebra, and medical diagnosis [1–3,8,11,22,33].

Closed sets are fundamental in topology, forming the basis for topological structures through either Kuratowski's closure axioms or the axioms of closed sets. Levine [15] extended this notion by introducing generalized closed sets (g-closed sets), which not only generalize classical closed sets but also yield new topological properties. This extension led to weaker separation axioms such as $T_{1/2}$, T_{gs} , and $T_{3/4}$, enriching the theory of topological spaces [10, 12–14, 16, 18, 24, 25].

Building on these foundations, researchers combined generalized set theory with neutrosophic and soft set frameworks, leading to generalized neutrosophic soft sets and their associated properties [6, 26, 27, 29, 31].

The main objective of this paper is to advance the theoretical development of neutrosophic soft generalized closed sets, as introduced by Özkan and Yazgan [23]. We present formal definitions, explore fundamental properties, and establish key theorems. Finally, we analyze new set structures arising from the combination of neutrosophic soft and generalized sets, examining their interrelations and characteristics through rigorous proofs and illustrative examples.

2 Preliminaries

This section briefly introduces the key concepts, definitions, and theorems related to neutrosophic soft sets, neutrodophic soft topological spaces, and generalized sets. The fundamental properties of neutrodophic soft closed sets and generalized closed sets used in this study are also discussed and illustrated with examples.

Definition 2.1 (Soft Set [19]). Let Ω be a universal set, Λ a set of parameters, and $\wp(\Omega)$ the family of all subsets of Ω . If there exists a mapping $\Psi: A \to \wp(\Omega)$ with $A \subseteq \Lambda$, then the pair (Ψ, A) is called a soft set over Ω with respect to Λ . In this context, a soft set (Ψ, A) can be regarded as a parameterized family of subsets of Ω . For each $\lambda \in A$, $\Psi(\lambda)$ represents the collection of λ -elements, and hence the soft set is represented by

$$(\Psi, A) = \{(\lambda, \Psi(\lambda)) : \lambda \in A, \Psi : A \to \wp(\Omega)\}.$$

Definition 2.2 (Neutrosophic Set [28]). On the universal set Ω , a neutrosophic set M is given by

$$M = \{ \langle \nu, T_M(\nu), I_M(\nu), F_M(\nu) \rangle : \nu \in \Omega \},$$

where

$$T_M, I_M, F_M : \Omega \to [0, 1]$$
 and $0 \le T_M(\nu) + I_M(\nu) + F_M(\nu) \le 3$.

Here, $T_M(\nu)$, $I_M(\nu)$ and $F_M(\nu)$ denote, respectively, the truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership, and falsity-membership degrees of ν with respect to M. Although neutrosophic sets are originally defined over the extended interval $]-0,1^+[$, in most

applied sciences and engineering problems they are usually restricted to the standard interval [0,1] for practicality.

The concept of a *neutrosophic soft set*, first proposed by Maji (2013) and later reformulated by Deli and Broumi (2015), is introduced as follows.

Definition 2.3 (Neutrosophic Soft Set [9]). Let Ω be a universal set, Λ a set of parameters, and $\wp(\Omega)$ the collection of all neutrosophic sets on Ω . A neutrosophic soft set over Ω with respect to Λ is a pair (Ψ, Λ) , where Ψ is a mapping

$$\Psi: \Lambda \to \wp(\Omega).$$

In other words, each parameter $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is associated with a neutrosophic set $\Psi(\lambda)$ on Ω , and the neutrosophic soft set can be written as

$$(\Psi, \Lambda) = \{ (\lambda, \langle \nu, T_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), I_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), F_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) \rangle) : \nu \in \Omega, \lambda \in \Lambda \}.$$

The family of all neutrosophic soft sets over (Ω, Λ) is denoted by $NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$.

Here, $T_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu)$, $I_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu)$ and $F_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) \in [0,1]$ represent the truth, indeterminacy, and falsity membership functions, respectively, and satisfy

$$0 \le T_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) + I_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) + F_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) \le 3.$$

For brevity, we shall denote a neutrosophic soft set simply by Ψ_{Λ} instead of (Ψ, Λ) throughout this work.

Definition 2.4 (Basic Operations on Neutrosophic Soft Sets [6,17,21]). Let Ψ_{Λ} , $\Phi_{\Lambda} \in NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. The following operations are defined:

(a) Complement: The complement of Ψ_{Λ} , denoted by $(\Psi_{\Lambda})^c$, is given as

$$(\Psi_{\Lambda})^{c} = \{(\lambda, \langle \nu, F_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), 1 - I_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), T_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) \rangle) : \nu \in \Omega, \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

It satisfies $((\Psi_{\Lambda})^c)^c = \Psi_{\Lambda}$.

(b) Neutrosophic Soft Subset: We say Ψ_{Λ} is a neutrosophic soft subset of Φ_{Λ} , written $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Phi_{\Lambda}$, if for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\nu \in \Omega$ we have

$$T_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) \le T_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu), \quad I_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) \le I_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu), \quad F_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) \ge F_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu).$$

Moreover, if $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Phi_{\Lambda}$ and $\Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Psi_{\Lambda}$, then $\Psi_{\Lambda} = \Phi_{\Lambda}$.

- (c) Empty and Absolute Neutrosophic Soft Sets:
 - Ψ_{Λ} is called empty, denoted \emptyset_{Λ} , if

$$T_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) = 0, \quad I_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) = 0, \quad F_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) = 1.$$

• Ψ_{Λ} is called absolute, denoted 1_{Λ} , if

$$T_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) = 1, \quad I_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) = 1, \quad F_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu) = 0.$$

- Clearly, $(\emptyset_{\Lambda})^c = 1_{\Lambda}$ and $(1_{\Lambda})^c = \emptyset_{\Lambda}$.
- (d) Union: The union $\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \Phi_{\Lambda} = \Upsilon_{\Lambda}$ is defined, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\nu \in \Omega$, by

$$T_{\Upsilon(\lambda)}(\nu) = \max\{T_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), T_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu)\},$$

$$I_{\Upsilon(\lambda)}(\nu) = \max\{I_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), I_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu)\},$$

$$F_{\Upsilon(\lambda)}(\nu) = \min\{F_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), F_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu)\}.$$

(e) Intersection: The intersection $\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda} = \Upsilon_{\Lambda}$ is defined by

$$T_{\Upsilon(\lambda)}(\nu) = \min\{T_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), T_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu)\},$$

$$I_{\Upsilon(\lambda)}(\nu) = \min\{I_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), I_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu)\},$$

$$F_{\Upsilon(\lambda)}(\nu) = \max\{F_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), F_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu)\}.$$

(f) **Difference:** The difference $\Psi_{\Lambda} \setminus \Phi_{\Lambda} = \Upsilon_{\Lambda}$ is defined as

$$\Psi_{\Lambda} \setminus \Phi_{\Lambda} = \Psi_{\Lambda} \cap (\Phi_{\Lambda})^c,$$

which leads to

$$T_{\Upsilon(\lambda)}(\nu) = \min\{T_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), T_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu)\},$$

$$I_{\Upsilon(\lambda)}(\nu) = \min\{I_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), 1 - I_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu)\},$$

$$F_{\Upsilon(\lambda)}(\nu) = \max\{F_{\Psi(\lambda)}(\nu), F_{\Phi(\lambda)}(\nu)\}.$$

Proposition 2.1 (Properties of Union and Intersection [21]). Let $\Psi_{\Lambda}, \Phi_{\Lambda}, \Upsilon_{\Lambda} \in NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. The following identities are valid:

$$(i)\ \Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \left(\Phi_{\Lambda} \cup \Upsilon_{\Lambda}\right) = \left(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \Phi_{\Lambda}\right) \cup \Upsilon_{\Lambda},$$

$$(\it{ii}) \ \Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \left(\Phi_{\Lambda} \cap \Upsilon_{\Lambda}\right) = \left(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda}\right) \cap \Upsilon_{\Lambda},$$

(iii)
$$\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup (\Phi_{\Lambda} \cap \Upsilon_{\Lambda}) = (\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \Phi_{\Lambda}) \cap (\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \Upsilon_{\Lambda}),$$

$$(iv) \ \Psi_{\Lambda} \cap (\Phi_{\Lambda} \cup \Upsilon_{\Lambda}) = (\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda}) \cup (\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Upsilon_{\Lambda}),$$

(v)
$$\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \emptyset_{\Lambda} = \Psi_{\Lambda}, \quad \Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \emptyset_{\Lambda} = \emptyset_{\Lambda},$$

(vi)
$$\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup 1_{\Lambda} = 1_{\Lambda}$$
, $\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap 1_{\Lambda} = \Psi_{\Lambda}$.

Proposition 2.2 (Complement Properties [21]). Let $\Psi_{\Lambda}, \Phi_{\Lambda} \in NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. Then the following equalities hold:

(i)
$$(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \Phi_{\Lambda})^c = \Psi_{\Lambda}^c \cap \Phi_{\Lambda}^c$$
,

(ii)
$$(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda})^c = \Psi_{\Lambda}^c \cup \Phi_{\Lambda}^c$$
.

Definition 2.5 (Neutrosophic Soft Topology [21]). Let $\mathcal{T}_{NSS} \subseteq NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$ be a family of neutrosophic soft sets. If \mathcal{T}_{NSS} satisfies the following conditions, it is called a neutrosophic soft topology on Ω :

1.
$$\emptyset_{\Lambda}, 1_{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{T}_{NSS}$$
,

- 2. \mathcal{T}_{NSS} is closed under arbitrary unions,
- 3. \mathcal{T}_{NSS} is closed under finite intersections.

The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ is then called a neutrodophic soft topological space (NSTS). Elements of \mathcal{T}_{NSS} are referred to as neutrosophic soft open sets (NSOS). A neutrosophic soft set Ψ_{Λ} is said to be neutrosophic soft closed (NSCS) if its complement Ψ_{Λ}^{c} belongs to \mathcal{T}_{NSS} .

Proposition 2.3 (Properties of NSCSs [21]). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS. Then the following properties hold:

- (i) The neutrosophic soft sets \emptyset_{Λ} , 1_{Λ} , and Ω are all closed sets.
- (ii) The intersection of any collection of NSCSs is again an NSCS.
- (iii) The union of finitely many NSCSs is an NSCS.
- **Definition 2.6** (Discrete and Non-Discrete Neutrosophic Soft Topologies [21]). (i) The family $\mathcal{T}_{NSS} = \{\emptyset_{\Lambda}, 1_{\Lambda}\}$ is called the neutrosophic soft non-discrete topology on Ω , and the triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ is called a neutrosophic soft non-discrete topological space.
 - (ii) If $\mathcal{T}_{NSS} = NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$, then \mathcal{T}_{NSS} is called the neutrosophic soft discrete topology on Ω , and $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ is called a neutrosophic soft discrete topological space.

Definition 2.7 (Neutrosophic Soft Point [21]). Let $NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$ denote the family of all neutrosophic soft sets over the universe Ω . A neutrosophic soft set of the form

$$\nu^{\lambda}_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}$$

is called a neutrosophic soft point for each $\nu \in \Omega$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $0 < \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq 1$, defined by

$$\nu_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^{\lambda}(\lambda')(\mu) = \begin{cases} (\alpha,\beta,\gamma), & \text{if } \lambda' = \lambda \text{ and } \mu = \nu, \\ (0,0,1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.8 (Fundamental Properties of Neutrosophic Soft Points [21]). Let $\nu_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^{\lambda}$ and $\mu_{(\alpha',\beta',\gamma')}^{\lambda'}$ be neutrosophic soft points in Ω_{Λ} . Then:

(a) **Equality:**

$$\nu_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^{\lambda} = \mu_{(\alpha',\beta',\gamma')}^{\lambda'}$$
 iff $\nu = \mu, \ \lambda = \lambda', \ (\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = (\alpha',\beta',\gamma').$

(b) **Membership:** For a neutrosophic soft set Ψ_{Λ} ,

$$\nu_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^{\lambda} \in \Psi_{\Lambda} \quad iff \quad \Psi_{\Lambda}(\lambda)(\nu) = (\alpha',\beta',\gamma') \text{ with } \alpha \leq \alpha', \ \beta \geq \beta', \ \gamma \geq \gamma'.$$

(c) Inclusion:

$$\nu_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^{\lambda} \subseteq \nu_{(\alpha',\beta',\gamma')}^{\lambda} \quad iff \quad \alpha \leq \alpha', \ \beta \geq \beta', \ \gamma \geq \gamma'.$$

(d) **Support:** The support of $\nu_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^{\lambda}$ is

$$\operatorname{supp}(\nu_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^{\lambda}) = \{ \nu \in \Omega \mid \alpha > 0, \ \beta < 1, \ \gamma < 1 \}.$$

Definition 2.9 (Interior of a Neutrosophic Soft Set [21]). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS and $\Psi_{\Lambda} \in NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. The interior of Ψ_{Λ} , denoted by $NsInt(\Psi_{\Lambda})$, is given by

$$\operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) = \bigcup \{\Phi_{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{T}_{\operatorname{NSS}} \mid \Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Psi_{\Lambda}\}.$$

In other words, the interior is the largest neutrosophic soft open set contained in Ψ_{Λ} .

Theorem 2.1 (Properties of Neutrosophic Soft Interior [21]). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS, and let $\Psi_{\Lambda}, \Phi_{\Lambda} \in NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. Then:

- (i) $\operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq \Psi_{\Lambda}$, and it is the largest NSOS contained in Ψ_{Λ} .
- (ii) If $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Phi_{\Lambda}$, then $\operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq \operatorname{NsInt}(\Phi_{\Lambda})$.
- (iii) $\operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda})$ is a neutrosophic soft open set: $\operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \in \mathcal{T}_{\operatorname{NSS}}$.
- (iv) Ψ_{Λ} is neutrosophic soft open iff $NsInt(\Psi_{\Lambda}) = \Psi_{\Lambda}$.
- (v) $\operatorname{NsInt}(\operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda})) = \operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda}).$
- (vi) $\operatorname{NsInt}(\emptyset_{\Lambda}) = \emptyset_{\Lambda} \text{ and } \operatorname{NsInt}(1_{\Lambda}) = 1_{\Lambda}.$
- (vii) $\operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda}) = \operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap \operatorname{NsInt}(\Phi_{\Lambda}).$
- (viii) $\operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cup \operatorname{NsInt}(\Phi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq \operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \Phi_{\Lambda}).$

Definition 2.10 (Closure of a Neutrosophic Soft Set [21]). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS and $\Psi_{\Lambda} \in NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. The closure of Ψ_{Λ} , denoted by $NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda})$, is defined as

$$\operatorname{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) = \bigcap \{\Xi_{\Lambda} \mid \Xi_{\Lambda} \text{ is neutrosophic soft closed and } \Xi_{\Lambda} \supseteq \Psi_{\Lambda} \}.$$

In other words, the closure is the intersection of all neutrosophic soft closed sets that contain Ψ_{Λ} .

Theorem 2.2 (Properties of Neutrosophic Soft Closure [21]). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS and $\Psi_{\Lambda}, \Phi_{\Lambda} \in NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. Then:

- (i) $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \text{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda})$, and $\text{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda})$ is the smallest NSCS containing Ψ_{Λ} .
- (ii) If $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Phi_{\Lambda}$, then $NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq NsCl(\Phi_{\Lambda})$.
- (iii) $\operatorname{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda})$ is neutrosophic soft closed: $\operatorname{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \in \mathcal{T}^c_{\operatorname{NSS}}$.
- (iv) Ψ_{Λ} is neutrosophic soft closed iff $NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) = \Psi_{\Lambda}$.
- (v) $NsCl(NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda})) = NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda})$.
- (vi) $\operatorname{NsCl}(\emptyset_{\Lambda}) = \emptyset_{\Lambda} \text{ and } \operatorname{NsCl}(1_{\Lambda}) = 1_{\Lambda}.$

(vii)
$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \Phi_{\Lambda}) = NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cup NsCl(\Phi_{\Lambda}).$$

(viii)
$$\operatorname{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq \operatorname{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap \operatorname{NsCl}(\Phi_{\Lambda}).$$

Theorem 2.3 (Complement Relations in Neutrosophic Soft Sets [21]). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS and $\Psi_{\Lambda} \in NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. Then, the complement operations satisfy

$$\left(\operatorname{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda})\right)^{c} = \operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda}^{c}), \quad \left(\operatorname{NsInt}(\Psi_{\Lambda})\right)^{c} = \operatorname{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda}^{c}).$$

Definition 2.11 (Neutrosophic Soft T_0 and T_1 Spaces [20]). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS, and let

$$\nu^{\lambda}_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}, \quad \mu^{\lambda'}_{(\alpha',\beta',\gamma')}$$

be two distinct neutrosophic soft points in Ω_{Λ} . Then:

a) (Neutrosophic soft T_0 -space) $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ is called a neutrosophic soft T_0 space if there exist neutrosophic soft open sets $\Psi_{\Lambda}, \Phi_{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{T}_{NSS}$ such that either

$$\nu_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^{\lambda} \in \Psi_{\Lambda} \quad and \quad \mu_{(\alpha',\beta',\gamma')}^{\lambda'} \notin \Psi_{\Lambda},$$

or

$$\mu_{(\alpha',\beta',\gamma')}^{\lambda'} \in \Phi_{\Lambda} \quad and \quad \nu_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^{\lambda} \notin \Phi_{\Lambda}.$$

b) (Neutrosophic soft T_1 -space) $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ is called a neutrosophic soft T_1 space if there exist neutrosophic soft open sets $\Psi_{\Lambda}, \Phi_{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{T}_{NSS}$ such that

$$\nu_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^{\lambda} \in \Psi_{\Lambda}, \quad \nu_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^{\lambda} \notin \Phi_{\Lambda},$$

and

$$\mu_{(\alpha',\beta',\gamma')}^{\lambda'} \in \Phi_{\Lambda}, \quad \mu_{(\alpha',\beta',\gamma')}^{\lambda'} \notin \Psi_{\Lambda}.$$

3 Neutrosophic Soft Generalized Closed Sets

The notion of neutrosophic soft generalized closed sets extends the idea of generalized closed sets to NSTSs. In this section, we present their formal definition together with related theorems and illustrative examples.

Definition 3.1 (Neutrosophic Soft Generalized Closed Set [23]). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS and $\Psi_{\Lambda} \in NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. Then Ψ_{Λ} is called a neutrosophic soft generalized closed set (NS-gCS) if, for every neutrosophic soft open set \mathcal{O}_{Λ} containing Ψ_{Λ} , the closure of Ψ_{Λ} is also contained in \mathcal{O}_{Λ} , i.e.,

$$\Psi_{\Lambda} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda} \Rightarrow \operatorname{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\Psi_{\Lambda}$$
 is an NS-gCS \iff NsCl(Ψ_{Λ}) $\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$ for all NSOSs \mathcal{O}_{Λ} with $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$.

Theorem 3.1. [30] In any NSTS $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$, every NSCS is also an NS-gCS.

Proof. Let Ψ_{Λ} be an NSCS and suppose $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$, where \mathcal{O}_{Λ} is an NSOS. By Theorem 2.2 (iv), which states that $NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) = \Psi_{\Lambda}$, we have

$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) = \Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}.$$

Therefore, Ψ_{Λ} satisfies the definition of an NS-gCS.

Remark 3.1. The converse does not necessarily hold; an NS-gCS need not be an NSCS.

Example 3.1 (An NS-gCS that is not closed). Let $\Omega = \{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$ be the universal set and $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ the set of parameters. Consider the family

$$\mathcal{T}_{NSS} = \{\emptyset_{\Lambda}, 1_{\Lambda}, \Phi_{\Lambda}, \Theta_{\Lambda}\}$$

defined on Ω_{Λ} , where Φ_{Λ} and Θ_{Λ} are given by

$$\Phi_{\Lambda} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \{ \langle \nu_1, 0.6, 0.2, 0.4 \rangle, \langle \nu_2, 0.4, 0.3, 0.6 \rangle \}), \\ (\lambda_2, \{ \langle \nu_1, 0.3, 0.6, 0.2 \rangle, \langle \nu_2, 0.2, 0.5, 0.4 \rangle \}) \right\},$$

$$\Theta_{\Lambda} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \{ \langle \nu_1, 0.2, 0.7, 0.5 \rangle, \ \langle \nu_2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle \}), \\ (\lambda_2, \{ \langle \nu_1, 0.1, 0.4, 0.4 \rangle, \ \langle \nu_2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.3 \rangle \}) \right\}.$$

Then \mathcal{T}_{NSS} defines a neutrosophic soft topology, and thus $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ is an NSTS. Now, consider the neutrosophic soft set

$$\Psi_{\Lambda} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \{ \langle \nu_1, 0.4, 0.4, 0.6 \rangle, \langle \nu_2, 0.3, 0.2, 0.5 \rangle \}), \\ (\lambda_2, \{ \langle \nu_1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.5 \rangle, \langle \nu_2, 0.5, 0.3, 0.4 \rangle \}) \right\}.$$

With respect to this topology, suppose that $NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) = \Theta_{\Lambda}^{c}$. Since $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq 1_{\Lambda}$ and $\Theta_{\Lambda}^{c} \subseteq 1_{\Lambda}$, it follows that Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS. However, because $NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) = \Theta_{\Lambda}^{c} \neq \Psi_{\Lambda}$, the set Ψ_{Λ} is not an NSCS.

Theorem 3.2. [30] Let Ψ_{Λ} and Φ_{Λ} be two NS-gCSs in $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$. Then the union $\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \Phi_{\Lambda}$ is an NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} .

Proof. Since Ψ_{Λ} and Φ_{Λ} are NS-gCSs, for any NSOS \mathcal{O}_{Λ} with $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$ and $\Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$, we have

$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$$
 and $NsCl(\Phi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$.

Since $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$ and $\Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$, it follows that

$$\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}.$$

By Theorem 2.2 (vii),

$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \Phi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cup NsCl(\Phi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda},$$

which shows that $\Psi_{\Lambda} \cup \Phi_{\Lambda}$ is an NS-gCS.

Theorem 3.3. [30] Let Ψ_{Λ} and Φ_{Λ} be two NS-gCSs in $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$. Then

$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda}) \subset NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap NsCl(\Phi_{\Lambda}).$$

Proof. Since Ψ_{Λ} and Φ_{Λ} are NS-gCSs, for any NSOS \mathcal{O}_{Λ} with $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$ and $\Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$, we have

$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$$
 and $NsCl(\Phi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$.

Since $\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Psi_{\Lambda}$ and $\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Phi_{\Lambda}$, by Theorem 2.2 (viii) we obtain

$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap NsCl(\Phi_{\Lambda}),$$

which completes the proof.

Remark 3.2. The intersection of two NS-gCSs need not be an NS-gCS.

Example 3.2. Let $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ be the universe and $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ the parameter set. Consider the neutrosophic soft topology

$$\mathcal{T}_{NSS} = \{\emptyset_{\Lambda}, 1_{\Lambda}, H_{\Lambda}\}$$

on Ω_{Λ} , where

$$H_{\Lambda} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.4, 0.6, 0.5 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.3, 0.7, 0.4 \rangle \}), \\ (\lambda_2, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.5, 0.5, 0.3 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.2, 0.6, 0.2 \rangle \}) \right\}.$$

Define the neutrosophic soft sets A_{Λ} and B_{Λ} in $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ by

$$A_{\Lambda} = \left\{ (\lambda_{1}, \{ \langle \omega_{1}, 0.7, 0.5, 0.4 \rangle, \langle \omega_{2}, 0.8, 0.4, 0.3 \rangle \}), \\ (\lambda_{2}, \{ \langle \omega_{1}, 0.6, 0.6, 0.2 \rangle, \langle \omega_{2}, 0.4, 0.5, 0.3 \rangle \}) \right\},$$

$$B_{\Lambda} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.6, 0.8, 0.6 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.7, 0.6, 0.5 \rangle \}), \\ (\lambda_2, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.8, 0.4, 0.3 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.3, 0.7, 0.4 \rangle \}) \right\}$$

Their intersection is

$$A_{\Lambda} \cap B_{\Lambda} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.6, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.7, 0.4, 0.5 \rangle \}), \\ (\lambda_2, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.3, 0.6, 0.4 \rangle \}) \right\}.$$

By Definition 3.1, one checks that both A_{Λ} and B_{Λ} are NS-gCSs in Ω_{Λ} (their respective neutrosophic soft closures lie inside 1_{Λ} and satisfy the required conditions). However, for the intersection we obtain

$$NsCl(A_{\Lambda} \cap B_{\Lambda}) = 1_{\Lambda}$$

and since $1_{\Lambda} \not\subseteq H_{\Lambda}$, it follows that $A_{\Lambda} \cap B_{\Lambda}$ is not an NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} .

Theorem 3.4. Let $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Omega_{\Lambda}$ in $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$. If Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS in Φ_{Λ} , and Φ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} , then Ψ_{Λ} is also an NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} .

Proof. Step 1: NS-gCS property in Φ_{Λ} Since Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS in Φ_{Λ} , we have

$$\Psi_{\Lambda} = NsCl_{\Phi_{\Lambda}}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap \Phi_{\Lambda},$$

where $NsCl_{\Phi_{\Lambda}}(\Psi_{\Lambda})$ denotes the NS-closure of Ψ_{Λ} in Φ_{Λ} .

Step 2: NS-gCS property in Ω_{Λ} Since Φ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} ,

$$\Phi_{\Lambda} = NsCl_{\Omega_{\Lambda}}(\Phi_{\Lambda}).$$

Step 3: Monotonicity of NS-closure By monotonicity of NsCl, we get

$$NsCl_{\Omega_{\Lambda}}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq NsCl_{\Omega_{\Lambda}}(\Phi_{\Lambda}) = \Phi_{\Lambda}.$$

Step 4: Concluding NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} Hence,

$$NsCl_{\Omega_{\Lambda}}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap \Omega_{\Lambda} = \Psi_{\Lambda},$$

showing that Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} .

Theorem 3.5. In the NSTS $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$, if Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS and Θ_{Λ} is an NSCS in Ω_{Λ} , then the intersection $\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Theta_{\Lambda}$ is an NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} .

Proof. Since Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} , we have $\Psi_{\Lambda} = \text{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap \Omega_{\Lambda}$, and since Θ_{Λ} is an NSCS in Ω_{Λ} , its complement Θ_{Λ}^c is an NS-gOS. By monotonicity of NsCl,

$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Theta_{\Lambda}) \subseteq NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap NsCl(\Theta_{\Lambda}) = \Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Theta_{\Lambda}.$$

Hence,

$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Theta_{\Lambda}) \cap \Omega_{\Lambda} = \Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Theta_{\Lambda},$$

showing that $\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Theta_{\Lambda}$ is an NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} .

Theorem 3.6. [30] Let Ψ_{Λ} be an NS-gCS in $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$, and let $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda})$. Then Φ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} .

Theorem 3.7. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS over Ω_{Λ} , and let $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Omega_{\Lambda}$. Then Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS if and only if $NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) - \Psi_{\Lambda}$ contains no non-empty NSCS.

Proof. Suppose there exists a neutrosophic soft closed subset $\Theta_{\Lambda} \subseteq \text{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) - \Psi_{\Lambda}$. Then

$$\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Theta_{\Lambda}^{c}$$
.

Since Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS, we have

$$\operatorname{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq \Theta_{\Lambda}^{c} \implies \Theta_{\Lambda} \subseteq \operatorname{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap (\operatorname{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda}))^{c} = \emptyset_{\Lambda},$$

which implies that Θ_{Λ} is empty.

Conversely, suppose $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$, where \mathcal{O}_{Λ} is an NSOS, but NsCl(Ψ_{Λ}) $\not\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$. Then

$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}^{c}$$

would be a non-empty NSCS contained in $NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) - \Psi_{\Lambda}$, contradicting the assumption. Hence the result follows.

Definition 3.2. [30] Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS over Ω_{Λ} and $\Phi_{\Lambda} \in NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. If the complement Φ_{Λ}^c is an NS-gCS in Ω_{Λ} , then Φ_{Λ} is called a neutrosophic soft generalized open set (NS-gOS).

Theorem 3.8. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS over Ω_{Λ} and $\Phi_{\Lambda} \in NSS(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. Then Φ_{Λ} is an NS-gOS if and only if every neutrosophic soft closed set $\Theta_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Phi_{\Lambda}$ is contained in $NsInt(\Phi_{\Lambda})$.

Proof. If Φ_{Λ} is an NS-gOS, i.e., Φ_{Λ}^{c} is an NS-gCS, then by the properties of NS-gCS and NS-interior, any neutrosophic soft closed set $\Theta_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Phi_{\Lambda}$ must satisfy $\Theta_{\Lambda} \subseteq N \operatorname{SInt}(\Phi_{\Lambda})$. Conversely, if every neutrosophic soft closed set contained in Φ_{Λ} lies in NSInt(Φ_{Λ}), then Φ_{Λ}^{c} fulfills the NS-gCS property, showing that Φ_{Λ} is an NS-gOS. \square

Example 3.3. Let $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ be the universe and $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ the parameter set. Consider the NSTS $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ as in Example 3.2 (with the same underlying topology). Define the neutrosophic soft set

$$\Psi_{\Lambda} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.1, 0.3, 0.6 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 \rangle \}), \\ (\lambda_2, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.2, 0.2, 0.7 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.3, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle \}) \right\}.$$

By Definition 3.2, since $\emptyset_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Psi_{\Lambda}$ and

$$NsInt(\Psi_{\Lambda}) = \emptyset_{\Lambda},$$

we conclude that Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gOS in Ω_{Λ} .

Theorem 3.9. [30] Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be an NSTS over Ω_{Λ} , and let $\Psi_{\Lambda}, \Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Omega_{\Lambda}$. If Ψ_{Λ} and Φ_{Λ} are discrete NS-gOS, then the intersection $\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda}$ is also an NS-gOS in Ω_{Λ} .

Remark 3.3. The union of two neutrosophic soft generalized open sets is not necessarily an NS-gOS, as demonstrated below.

Example 3.4. Let $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ and $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$. Consider the neutrosophic soft topological space $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ as described in Example 3.2. Define

$$G_{\Lambda} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7 \rangle \}), \\ (\lambda_2, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.4, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6 \rangle \}) \right\},$$

$$K_{\Lambda} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.5, 0.2, 0.4 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.4, 0.3, 0.8 \rangle \}), \\ (\lambda_2, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.2, 0.6, 0.5 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.1, 0.5, 0.7 \rangle \}) \right\}.$$

Then their union is

$$G_{\Lambda} \cup K_{\Lambda} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.5, 0.4, 0.4 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.4, 0.5, 0.7 \rangle \}), \\ (\lambda_2, \{ \langle \omega_1, 0.4, 0.6, 0.5 \rangle, \langle \omega_2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 \rangle \}) \right\}.$$

Although both G_{Λ} and K_{Λ} are NS-gOS in Ω_{Λ} , their union fails to be an NS-gOS, since

$$(G_{\Lambda} \cup K_{\Lambda})^c \not\subseteq \text{NsInt}(G_{\Lambda} \cup K_{\Lambda}) = \emptyset_{\Lambda}.$$

Corollary 3.1. [30] Let Ψ_{Λ} and Φ_{Λ} be discrete NS-gCSs in Ψ_{Λ} . If Ψ_{Λ}^c and Φ_{Λ}^c are discrete, then $\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda}$ is an NS-gCS, because $(\Psi_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{\Lambda})^c = \Psi_{\Lambda}^c \cup \Phi_{\Lambda}^c$ is an NS-gOS, and Theorem 3.9 applies.

Theorem 3.10. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ be a neutrosophic soft topological space. Suppose Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gOS in Ω_{Λ} , and let $\Phi_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Omega_{\Lambda}$ satisfy

$$\Psi^c_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Phi^c_{\Lambda} \subseteq \text{NsCl}(\Psi^c_{\Lambda}).$$

Then Φ_{Λ} is also an NS-gOS in Ω_{Λ} .

Proof. Consider the complements of the sets. Since Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gOS, its complement Ψ_{Λ}^{c} is an NS-gCS. From the assumption, Φ_{Λ}^{c} lies between Ψ_{Λ}^{c} and its neutrosophic soft closure:

$$\Psi^c_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Phi^c_{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathrm{NsCl}(\Psi^c_{\Lambda}).$$

By Theorem 3.6, any neutrosophic soft set situated between an NS-gCS and its closure is itself an NS-gCS. Consequently, Φ_{Λ}^c is an NS-gCS, and taking the complement, we deduce that Φ_{Λ} is an NS-gOS in Ω_{Λ} .

Theorem 3.11. Let $\Psi_{\Lambda} \in \text{NSS}(\Omega_{\Lambda})$. Then Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS if and only if its complement Ψ_{Λ}^{c} is an NS-gOS in Ω_{Λ} relative to $\text{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda})$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS. By definition, $\Psi_{\Lambda}^{c} = \text{NsCl}(\Psi_{\Lambda}) - \Psi_{\Lambda}$ (see Definition 3.1). Let $U_{\Lambda} \subseteq \Psi_{\Lambda}^{c}$ be any NSCS. Then, according to the definition of NS-gCS and Theorem 3.3, U_{Λ} must be the empty set \emptyset_{Λ} . Hence, by Definition 3.2, Ψ_{Λ}^{c} is an NS-gOS in NsCl(Ψ_{Λ}).

 (\Leftarrow) Conversely, assume that Ψ^c_{Λ} is an NS-gOS in NsCl(Ψ_{Λ}). Let O_{Λ} be any NSOS such that $\Psi_{\Lambda} \subseteq O_{\Lambda}$. Then

$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap O_{\Lambda}^c \subseteq \Psi_{\Lambda}^c$$

is an NSCS. By the NS-gOS property of Ψ^c_{Λ} (Definition 3.2), we have

$$NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \cap O_{\Lambda}^{c} \subseteq NsInt(\Psi_{\Lambda}^{c}) = \emptyset_{\Lambda}.$$

Therefore, $NsCl(\Psi_{\Lambda}) \subseteq O_{\Lambda}$, which shows that Ψ_{Λ} is an NS-gCS.

In this part, we present some applications of neutrosophic soft generalized closed sets (NS-gCSs) in the context of separation axioms in NSTSs. We focus on the $T_{1/2}$ separation property and its implications.

Definition 3.3. an NSTS $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ is called a neutrosophic soft- $T_{1/2}$ space (in short, N-(${}^sT_{1/2}$)) if every NS-gCS in $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ is also a neutrosophic soft closed set (NSCS).

Example 3.5. Let $\Omega = \{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$ and $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$. Consider the NSTS

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda), \qquad \mathcal{T}_{NSS} = \{\emptyset_{\Lambda}, 1_{\Lambda}, \Phi_{\Lambda}\},$$

where

$$\Phi_{\Lambda} = \{(\lambda_1, \{\langle \nu_1, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8 \rangle\})\}.$$

Then $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ is an N-(${}^sT_{1/2}$) space, since the only NS-gCS Φ_{Λ} is also an NSCS.

Theorem 3.12. If an NSTS $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ is N-(sT_1), then it is N-(${}^sT_{1/2}$).

Proof. In an N-(sT_1) space, every singleton neutrosophic soft set is an NSCS. Therefore, every NS-gCS is automatically an NSCS, and the space is N-(${}^sT_{1/2}$).

Example 3.6. Let $\Omega = \{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$ and $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, and consider the neutrosophic soft topology

$$\mathcal{T}_{NSS} = \{\emptyset_{\Lambda}, 1_{\Lambda}, \Phi_{\Lambda}\},\$$

where

$$\Phi_{\Lambda} = \{ (\lambda_1, \{ \langle \nu_1, 0.8, 0.8, 0.8 \rangle, \langle \nu_2, 0.7, 0.7, 0.7 \rangle \}) \}.$$

Then $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{NSS}, \Lambda)$ is N-(sT_1) because each singleton neutrosophic soft point can be separated from every NSCS. Consequently, every NS-gCS is an NSCS, so the space is also N-(${}^sT_{1/2}$).

Theorem 3.13. If an NSTS is $N-({}^{s}T_{1/2})$, then it is $N-({}^{s}T_{0})$.

Proof. Since every NS-gCS is an NSCS in an N-(${}^sT_{1/2}$) space, for any two distinct neutrosophic soft points, there exists a neutrosophic soft open set containing one but not the other, which satisfies the T_0 separation condition.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, the concept of NS-gCSs has been introduced, and their fundamental properties have been investigated in detail. Key theorems within NSTSs have been established, and these notions have been illustrated through concrete examples, thereby providing a solid theoretical foundation for NS-gCSs. The present study is expected to serve as a basis for further research on generalized neutrosophic soft structures. In the future, the generalization of alpha, semi, pre, and beta sets within neutrosophic soft topological spaces could be explored. The proposed structures are thought to enable a systematic study of topological properties, including continuity, compactness, connectedness, and separation axioms, within the neutrosophic soft context. Although the present work is primarily theoretical, the developed framework is considered to hold significant potential for advancing neutrosophic soft topology, as well as for applications in decision-making, algebraic structures, and other applied sciences. The concepts and results presented in this study are expected to provide a valuable starting point for such further investigations.

References

- [1] Abuqamar, M., Ahmad, A. G., Hassan, N., (2022). The Algebraic Structure of Normal Groups Associated with Q-Neutrosophic Soft Sets, *Neutrosophic Sets* and Systems, Vol. 48.
- [2] Ali, M., Son, L. H., Deli, I., (2017). Bipolar neutrosophic soft sets and applications in decision making, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 33(6), 4077-4087. DOI: 10.3233/JIFS-17999

- [3] Al-Sharqi, F., Ahmad, A. G., Al-Quran, A., (2022). Similarity Measures on Interval-Complex Neutrosophic Soft Sets with Applications to Decision Making and Medical Diagnosis under Uncertainty, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol. 51.
- [4] Atanassov, K., (1986). Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20, 87-96.
- [5] Bera, T., Mahapatra, N. K., (2018). On NSTS, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 19.
- [6] Broumi, S., (2013). Generalized Neutrosophic Soft Set, International Journal of Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology (IJCSEIT), 3(2), 17-30.
- [7] Cantor, G., (1883). Uber Unendliche, Lineare Punktmannigfaltigkeiten 5, Mathematische Annalen, 21, 545–586.
- [8] Das, S., Pramanik, S., (2020). Neutrosophic Simply Soft Open Set in NSTS, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 38.
- [9] Deli, I., Broumi, S., (2015). Neutrosophic Soft Relations and Some Properties, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 9(1), 169-182.
- [10] Devi, R., Balachandran, K., Maki, H., (1998). Generalized -closed maps and generalized closed maps, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 29(1), 37-49.
- [11] Kamacı, H., (2021). Linguistic single-valued neutrosophic soft sets with applications in game theory, *Int. J. Intell. Syst.*, 36, 3917–3960. DOI: 10.1002/int.22445
- [12] Kumar, V.M.K.R.S., (1999). Semi-pre-generalized closed sets, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math., 20, 33-46.
- [13] Kumar, V.M.K.R.S., (2000). Between closed sets and g-closed sets, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math., 24, 1-19.
- [14] Levine, N., (1963). Semi open sets and semi continuity in topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 70, 36–41.
- [15] Levine, N., (1970). Generalized Closed Sets in Topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 19(2), 89–96.
- [16] Maki, H., Devi, R., Balachandran, K., (1993). Generalized -closed sets in topology, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III, 42, 13–21.
- [17] Maji, P. K., (2012). A neutrosophic soft set approach to a decision making problem, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 3(2), 313-319.
- [18] Mashhour, A.S., Hasanein, I. A., El-Deeb, S. N., (1983). α -continuous and α -open mappings, $Acta\ Math.\ Hungar.$, 41, 213–218.

- [19] Molodtsov, D., (1999). Soft Set Theory-First Results, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 37(4-5), 19-31.
- [20] Ozturk, T.Y., Gunduz (Aras), C., Bayramov, S., (2019). Separation Axioms on NSTSs, *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 43, 498–510.
- [21] Ozturk, T.Y., Gunduz (Aras), C., Bayramov, S., (2019). A New Approach to Operations on Neutrosophic Soft Sets and to NSTSs, *Communications in Mathematics and Applications*, 10(3), 481–493.
- [22] Ozturk, T.Y., Benek, A., Ozkan, A., (2021). Neutrosophic Soft Compact Spaces, *Afrika Matematika*, 32, 301–316.
- [23] Özkan, A., Yazgan, Ş., Kaur, S., (2023). Neutrosophic Soft Generalized b-Closed Sets in NSTSs, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 56(6), 48-69.
- [24] Palaniappan, N., Rao, K.C., (1993). Regular generalized closed sets, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 33(2), 211-219.
- [25] Park, J. H., Song, D.S., Saadati, R., (2007). On generalization δ -semiclosed sets in topological spaces, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 33, 1329–1338.
- [26] Priyadarsini, S., Singh, A.V, Broumi, S., (2022). Review of Generalized Neutrosophic Soft Set in Solving Multiple Expert Decision Making Problems, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS), 19(1), 48-59.
- [27] Salama, A., Al-Blowi, S., (2012). Generalized Neutrosophic Set and Generalized Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, *Computer Science and Engineering*, 2(7), 129-132.
- [28] Smarandache, F., (2005). Neutrosophic Set α Generalisation of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 24(3), 287-297.
- [29] Şahin, R., Küçük, A., (2014). Generalized neutrosophic set and its integration to decision making problem, Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences, 8(6), 2751-2759.
- [30] Yazgan, Ş. Neutrosophic Soft Generalized Sets in Neutrosophic Soft Topological Spaces, M.Sc. Thesis, Iğdır University, Institute of Science, Department of Mathematics, 2023.
- [31] Uluçay, V., Şahin, M., Hassan, N., (2018). Generalized neutrosophic soft expert set for multiple-criteria decision-making, *Symmetry*, 10(10), 437.
- [32] Zadeh, L., (1965). Fuzzy Sets, Inform. and Control, 8, 338-353.
- [33] Qamar, M. A., Hassan, N., (2019). An Approach toward a Q-Neutrosophic Soft Set and Its Application in Decision Making, Symmetry, 11(2), 139. doi:10.3390/sym11020139