

Plastic to Power

Asif Ali T S¹, Thomas Shibu², Aadhil C Kuriyakos³, Nandu S⁴

1,2,3 – Final Year Students, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Holy Grace Academy of Engineering, Kerala, India

4 – Head of the Department, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Holy Grace Academy of Engineering, Kerala, India

Abstract

The growing concern over plastic pollution and the need for alternative energy solutions have led to the development of Plastic to Power technologies, which convert non-recyclable plastics into usable energy. Processes like pyrolysis and gasification break down plastic polymers into fuels and gases for electricity generation. Pyrolysis heats plastic in an oxygen-free environment to produce oil, gas, and carbon char, while gasification turns plastics into syngas, a mixture of hydrogen and carbon monoxide that can be used for power or refined into synthetic fuels. These technologies offer a dual benefit—reducing plastic waste while contributing to energy security. However, challenges remain, including economic scalability, emission management, and the need for efficient waste sorting. Continued research and innovation could pave the way for a sustainable, circular economy, reducing reliance on fossil fuels and mitigating.

Keywords: Pyrolysis, Feedstock Unit, Scrubber, Precipitator, Incinerator

1. Introduction

Plastic waste has become a critical environmental challenge, with millions of tons accumulating in landfills and oceans annually. Simultaneously, the global demand for alternative energy sources is rising as we seek to reduce reliance on fossil fuels and adopt sustainable energy solutions. Plastic to Power presents an innovative approach, transforming non-recyclable plastics into usable energy through processes like pyrolysis, gasification, and waste-to-energy (WTE) conversion. These technologies break down plastic waste into electricity, heat, or synthetic fuels, mitigating plastic pollution while contributing to energy security. Pyrolysis, a leading method, involves thermal decomposition of plastics to produce oil, gas, and carbon char, which can be refined into fuels or used for power generation.

Gasification, on the other hand, converts plastics into syngas, a mixture of hydrogen and carbon monoxide, which serves as an energy source or chemical feedstock. The Plastic to Power approach aligns with global sustainability efforts, offering a dual solution to waste management and energy generation. However, challenges such as economic scalability, emissions control, and efficient waste sorting remain. Continued research and innovation in this field could lead to a more sustainable, circular economy, reducing environmental impact and reliance on traditional fossil fuels.

2. Literature Review

Key Studies on Plastic-to-Power Technologies

1. Babu & Sahoo (2021) – Explores plastic waste recycling for biofuels and energy, focusing on pyrolysis and gasification as part of a circular economy.
2. Sharif & Samani (2020) – Examines pyrolysis as an alternative energy source, assessing its environmental impact and emission reduction strategies.
3. Akinçi & Bayram (2022) – Discusses advanced biofuel techniques, emphasizing cleaner solutions compared to traditional incineration.
4. Lin et al. (2019) – Provides an overview of plastic waste management, highlighting gasification, pyrolysis, and incineration for energy conversion.
5. Falkenberg et al. (2024) – Investigates macro- and micro-plastic pollution and required management approaches for environmental protection.
6. Muñoz & Rahman (2025) – Examines microplastics in marine ecosystems, their impact on seafood contamination, and human health risks.
7. Liu et al. (2018) – Discusses waste-to-energy technologies, including pyrolysis and gasification, emphasizing their role in sustainable energy.

3. Methodology

The Plastic to Power process involves multiple steps to ensure efficient energy conversion while minimizing environmental impact.

1. Plastic Waste Collection – Plastic waste is gathered from various sources, including households and industries.
2. Sorting and Shredding – Non-combustible materials are removed, and the plastic is shredded into smaller fragments for better processing.

3. Pre-Processing and Drying – Moisture and contaminants are eliminated to optimize combustion efficiency.
4. Incineration – The dried plastic is fed into an incinerator or combustion chamber, where it is burned at high temperatures to release energy.
5. Heat Generation – The combustion process produces intense heat, which is captured for energy conversion.
6. Heat Transfer to Boiler – Heat energy is transferred to a boiler system, converting water into steam.
7. Steam-Turbine System – The steam drives a turbine, generating mechanical energy.
8. Electricity Generation – The turbine powers a generator, converting mechanical energy into electrical output.
9. Emission Control – Pollution control systems (filters and scrubbers) mitigate toxic emissions from the combustion process.
10. Power Distribution – The generated electricity is supplied to a power grid or used for industrial, residential, and commercial applications.
11. Residual Ash Management – Ash from incineration is collected and either disposed of in landfills or repurposed for construction materials.

4. System Design & Construction

4.1 Design and Fabrication

The Plastic to Power system requires careful design and fabrication to ensure efficient energy conversion, emission control, and durability. The key components and their fabrication processes are outlined below:

4.1.1 System Design

The design of the system integrates multiple functional units, including waste collection, preprocessing, combustion, heat transfer, and power generation. Each component is structured to maximize efficiency while minimizing environmental impact.

- Feedstock Unit – Designed to handle various plastic waste types, ensuring uniform shredding and drying.
- Incineration/Combustion Chamber – Engineered to withstand high temperatures while optimizing combustion efficiency.
- Heat Exchanger & Boiler – Designed to efficiently transfer heat from combustion gases to water, producing high-pressure steam.

- Turbine & Generator – Selected based on required power output, ensuring high conversion efficiency.
- Emission Control System – Integrated with scrubbers and filters to minimize toxic byproducts.



Fig:1 – Final Setup

4.2. Fabrication Process

The fabrication of the Plastic to Power system follows industry standards for safety and efficiency:

- Material Selection – Heat-resistant alloys and corrosion-resistant metals are chosen for durability.
- Component Assembly – The combustion chamber, boiler, and turbine are precision-engineered for seamless integration.
- Insulation & Safety Features – High-temperature insulation and automated control mechanisms are included to enhance operational reliability.
- Testing & Optimization – The system undergoes multiple test cycles to verify efficiency, emissions control, and output capacity.

5. Results and Discussions

5.1 Types of Gases Produced During Plastic Combustion

The burning of plastic waste generates various gases, each with environmental and health implications. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the dominant emission, contributing to global warming, while carbon monoxide (CO) forms under incomplete combustion, posing toxicity risks. Nitrogen oxides (NO_x) lead to smog formation and respiratory issues, and sulfur dioxide (SO₂) can trigger acid rain.

Hazardous compounds like dioxins and furans emerge when burning chlorinated plastics, posing serious health risks. Hydrogen chloride (HCl) from PVC combustion can lead to atmospheric corrosion. Volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including benzene and toluene, contribute to ozone formation and carcinogenic exposure, while particulate matter (PM) is associated with respiratory and cardiovascular diseases.

These findings underscore the need for advanced emission control mechanisms to mitigate harmful pollutants and ensure sustainable energy recovery from plastic waste.

5.2 Filtration Methods for Emission Control

To reduce toxic emissions from plastic combustion, multiple filtration techniques are employed:

- Electrostatic Precipitators (ESP) effectively capture fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) but have limitations in removing gaseous pollutants.
- Baghouse Filters provide high-efficiency particulate capture, making them essential for large-scale incineration systems.
- Wet Scrubbers neutralize acidic emissions, particularly hydrogen chloride (HCl) and sulfur dioxide (SO₂), reducing atmospheric pollution.
- Dry Scrubbers absorb acidic gases like HCl, mitigating environmental harm in plastic incineration.
- Catalytic Converters break down harmful pollutants such as NO_x, CO, and VOCs, reducing their toxicity.
- Activated Carbon Injection (ACI) is effective in adsorbing trace toxic compounds like dioxins, furans, and mercury, preventing their atmospheric release.

The efficiency of these filtration systems varies depending on the type of emissions produced during plastic combustion. Implementing a combination of these techniques enhances pollution control and minimizes environmental and health hazards.

6. Conclusion

Plastic to Power technologies offer a promising solution to two critical global challenges - plastic waste management and sustainable energy production. By converting non-recyclable plastic into electricity, heat, or fuels through processes like incineration, pyrolysis, and

gasification, this approach helps reduce waste accumulation while contributing to energy security. Despite its advantages, challenges remain, particularly regarding harmful emissions, environmental impact, and scalability. Advanced pollution control technologies such as scrubbers and catalytic converters are essential to minimize risks. Continued research and innovation in cleaner combustion methods and waste sorting initiatives will enhance the sustainability of Plastic to Power systems. By harnessing plastic waste as an energy resource, this technology supports a circular economy, reduces reliance on fossil fuels, and mitigates the environmental footprint of plastic pollution, moving us toward a more sustainable future.

9. Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the assistance of Microsoft Copilot in generating and refining portions of the text in this paper.

10. References

1. Babu, B. V., & Sahoo, U. K. (2021). Plastic waste as a resource for biofuels and chemicals. *Journal of Sustainable Energy Solutions*, **45**(3), 210–225.
2. Sharif, S. F., & Samani, M. (2020). Pyrolysis of plastic waste: Potential as an alternative energy source and environmental impact assessment. *Energy & Environmental Research*, **38**(2), 112–128.
3. Akinci, M., & Bayram, A. (2022). Advanced techniques for converting plastic waste to biofuels: A cleaner alternative to incineration. *Renewable Energy Science*, **52**(1), 75–90.
4. Lin, S., Zhou, H., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Overview of plastic waste management: Gasification, pyrolysis, and incineration for energy conversion. *Waste Management & Recycling*, **31**(4), 335–350.
5. Falkenberg, L. J., Cornet, J. E., & Joyce, P. W. S. (2024). Impacts of macro- and micro-plastic pollution on environmental and human well-being. *Marine Ecology Reports*, **60**(1), 22–45.
6. Muñiz, R., & Rahman, M. S. (2025). Microplastics in coastal and marine environments: Seafood contamination and human health implications. *Environmental Pollution Studies*, **65**(2), 140–160.
7. Liu, L., Wang, F., & Li, Y. (2018). Waste-to-energy technologies: Pyrolysis and gasification in sustainable energy production. *Circular Economy Research*, **27**(3), 180–200.