"Empirical Assessment of NPA Dynamics and Financial Stability Indicators of Public Sector Banks in India (2018–2025)"

By.,
Dr. Malini M V
Associate Professor
Department of Commerce
Government First Grade College, Hoskote
Bangalore Rural
Karnataka – 562114

Abstract

This study presents an empirical assessment of Non-Performing Asset (NPA) dynamics and financial stability indicators of Public Sector Banks (PSBs) in India during the period 2018–2025. Using secondary data from the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), Ministry of Finance, Database on Indian Economy (DBIE), and annual reports of PSBs, the study examines trends in gross and net NPAs, provisioning strength, capital adequacy, profitability, recoveries, write-offs, and credit growth. The findings reveal a significant and sustained improvement in asset quality, with Gross NPAs declining by nearly 70 percent and Net NPAs reducing by more than 85 percent over the study period. Parallel improvements in key financial soundness indicators—such as Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR), Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio (CRAR), Return on Assets (ROA), and Net Interest Margin (NIM)—indicate stronger capital buffers and enhanced profitability.

Advanced statistical tools, including correlation and multiple regression analysis, were employed to evaluate the relationships among NPA levels, recovery performance, and financial stability indicators. The results demonstrate strong negative correlations between NPAs and financial performance variables, while regression models confirm that recoveries, credit growth, and provisioning play significant roles in reducing NPAs. All null hypotheses were rejected, indicating statistically significant associations among the variables. Overall, the study concludes that PSBs have transitioned from a period of heightened stress to a position of improved financial resilience. Sustained risk management, governance reforms, and digital innovations will be critical for maintaining this progress and mitigating future credit risks.

Keywords: *Public Sector Banks; Non-Performing Assets; Financial Stability; Provision Coverage Ratio; Capital Adequacy; Recovery Performance; Credit Growth; Regression Analysis; Asset Quality; India.*

1. Introduction

The financial health of Public Sector Banks (PSBs) plays a pivotal role in shaping the stability and efficiency of India's banking system. As the dominant segment in credit intermediation, PSBs have historically borne a significant share of stressed assets, particularly in the form of

Non-Performing Assets (NPAs). High NPAs not only weaken banks' profitability and capital adequacy but also constrain lending capacity, thereby affecting overall economic growth. Over the past decade, India has witnessed a major transformation in the management of banking sector stress through regulatory reforms, improved monitoring, and a stronger resolution framework.

Between 2018 and 2025, the Indian banking sector—especially PSBs—experienced one of the most significant phases of asset quality improvement. This period reflects the aftermath of peak NPA levels observed around 2018, followed by systematic interventions such as the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), recapitalisation of PSBs, enhanced provisioning norms, and stricter credit appraisal mechanisms. These policy measures collectively contributed to a sustained decline in gross and net NPA ratios, while simultaneously strengthening capital adequacy, provision coverage, and overall financial soundness. Understanding these trends is crucial to assess whether PSBs have transitioned from stress to stability and how effectively they have adapted to evolving regulatory expectations.

This study aims to present an empirical assessment of NPA dynamics and key financial stability indicators of PSBs in India from 2018 to 2025. Drawing from official RBI and Ministry of Finance datasets, the analysis captures trends in gross and net NPAs, provision coverage ratios, capital adequacy, profitability, recoveries, and write-offs. By examining these indicators collectively, the paper provides insights into the progress made by PSBs in strengthening asset quality and improving operational performance. The findings also offer a foundation for evaluating the long-term impact of policy measures and identifying challenges that may shape the future trajectory of the Indian banking sector.

1.1 Theoretical Background of the Study

The phenomenon of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) has long been central to understanding banking sector efficiency, financial stability, and credit risk dynamics. In banking theory, NPAs represent loans or advances for which the principal or interest remains overdue beyond a specified period, indicating impaired asset quality and heightened default risk. The accumulation of NPAs adversely affects a bank's profitability through reduced interest income, elevated provisioning requirements, and constrained capital resources. According to classical credit risk theory, higher levels of loan impairment erode a bank's ability to create credit, ultimately influencing its intermediation role in the economy. Thus, the management of NPAs is intertwined with core financial concepts such as risk assessment, capital adequacy, and systemic soundness.

The theoretical foundation of financial stability emphasizes the importance of maintaining strong prudential indicators such as the Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio (CRAR), Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR), Return on Assets (ROA), and Net Interest Margin (NIM). These indicators serve as proxies for a bank's resilience against credit shocks and its capacity to absorb unexpected losses. Regulatory frameworks, particularly the Basel norms, outline minimum capital and provisioning requirements to ensure that banks remain solvent and

capable of withstanding adverse macroeconomic events. From a theoretical standpoint, adequate capitalization and provisioning act as buffers that protect depositors, sustain market confidence, and promote stable credit flow in the economy.

The improvement of asset quality in Public Sector Banks (PSBs) in India during recent years can be understood through the lens of institutional reforms and risk management theories. The introduction of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), enhanced supervisory mechanisms under the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), and tightened lending standards are aligned with global best practices for resolving distressed assets. These reforms are grounded in the theory of efficient credit markets, which posits that timely recognition and resolution of bad loans lead to healthier balance sheets and improved financial intermediation. Thus, the 2018–2025 period offers a relevant context for examining how theoretical constructs related to asset quality, capital adequacy, and financial soundness manifest in the real-world performance of India's PSBs.

2. Review of Literature

Kandpal (2020) offers a comprehensive critical analysis of non-performing assets in India with a comparison between public and private sector banks. Using a mix of secondary data and regulatory reports, the study identifies key drivers of NPAs, including weak credit appraisal, willful defaults, and governance issues in public sector banks. It also highlights the role of regulatory reforms such as SARFAESI and the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC) in strengthening recovery mechanisms. The paper concludes that effective NPA management requires both proactive measures (better appraisal and monitoring) and curative measures (legal and resolution frameworks), providing a conceptual base for examining the post-2018 decline in NPAs among public sector banks.

Das and Uppal (2021) empirically examine the relationship between NPAs and profitability for a sample of 39 scheduled commercial banks in India, including 20 public sector banks, over the period 2005–2019. Using panel data techniques, the study models profitability (measured by return on assets) as a function of bank-specific variables such as net NPAs, deposits, non-interest income, capital adequacy, and operating efficiency, along with macroeconomic factors like GDP growth, inflation, and interest rates. The results show a statistically significant negative relationship between NPAs and profitability, implying that higher impaired assets lower banks' earnings through reduced interest income and higher provisioning costs. This supports the theoretical expectation that better asset quality is essential for sustained profitability in public sector banks.

Mishra (2021) investigates the determinants of NPAs in the Indian banking sector, focusing on both bank-specific and macroeconomic drivers. Using a panel dataset of 40 public and private banks over the period 2010–2018, the study finds that factors such as credit growth, bank size, operating efficiency, and capital adequacy, alongside macro variables like GDP growth and interest rate movements, significantly influence NPA levels. The findings indicate that rapid credit expansion without commensurate risk management leads to higher NPAs,

while stronger capital buffers and better efficiency mitigate asset quality deterioration. This work is relevant to the present study as it underlines the importance of internal management practices and macroeconomic conditions in explaining the NPA trajectory of public sector banks after 2018.

Ravirajan (2023) provides an empirical analysis of the determinants of NPAs in scheduled commercial banks in India for the period 2009–2020, using panel regression techniques. The study incorporates bank-level variables such as loan growth, priority sector exposure, profitability, and capital adequacy, as well as macroeconomic indicators including GDP growth and exchange rate volatility. The results show that higher credit growth and greater exposure to vulnerable sectors tend to increase NPAs, whereas higher profitability and capital adequacy ratios are associated with lower NPAs. The paper's emphasis on both structural and cyclical determinants offers a useful backdrop for interpreting how policy changes and improved financial soundness indicators from 2018–2025 contributed to the reduction of NPAs in public sector banks.

Mittal (2025) analyses the "NPA crisis" and its resolution in India with a particular focus on management efficiency and regulatory reforms. Covering the period from FY 2011 to FY 2023, the study traces the rise of NPAs up to their peak around FY 2018 and their subsequent decline. It links this trajectory to interventions such as the Asset Quality Review (AQR), stricter Prompt Corrective Action (PCA) norms, PSB recapitalisation, bank mergers, and the implementation of IBC. Using panel regression, the paper finds that improved management efficiency and governance reforms are significantly associated with lower NPA ratios, especially in public sector banks post-2018. This provides a direct theoretical and empirical connection to the present study, which focuses on the 2018–2025 period of asset quality improvement in PSBs.

Kumar (2025) presents an empirical analysis of how NPAs affect the financial performance of public sector banks in India. Using bank-level data for selected PSBs, the study examines the impact of NPAs on profitability metrics such as return on assets (ROA), return on equity (ROE), and return on capital employed (ROCE). Regression results indicate a negative relationship between NPAs and these profitability parameters, with statistically significant effects for several major public sector banks. Although the study notes that NPA ratios have declined in recent years, it emphasises that the remaining NPA burden continues to undermine profitability. This reinforces the importance of simultaneously tracking NPA levels, profitability, and other soundness indicators—as done in the present research through measures like provision coverage ratio and capital adequacy—for a holistic assessment of PSB stability.

2.1 Statement of the Problem

Despite significant reforms in the Indian banking sector, Public Sector Banks (PSBs) have continued to face persistent challenges related to asset quality deterioration. The problem of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) reached unprecedented levels around 2018, resulting in weakened balance sheets, reduced lending capacity, and elevated provisioning requirements. Although recent years have shown notable improvements, the pace, consistency, and structural

strength of this recovery remain important areas requiring empirical assessment. Understanding whether PSBs have successfully transitioned out of their high-stress phase is essential for evaluating the stability and resilience of India's financial system.

The period from 2018 to 2025 represents a crucial phase marked by policy interventions, regulatory tightening, bank recapitalisation, and enhanced recovery mechanisms under frameworks such as the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC). While preliminary indicators suggest a downward trajectory in NPAs and improvements in key financial soundness measures, there is limited integrated research that examines these indicators collectively over this transformative period. A comprehensive assessment is required to determine whether the observed improvements reflect sustainable structural changes or are influenced by temporary factors such as write-offs, mergers, or recovery spikes.

Given this context, the central problem addressed in this study is the need for an empirical evaluation of NPA dynamics and financial soundness indicators of Public Sector Banks in India during 2018–2025. The lack of consolidated data-based analysis examining gross NPAs, net NPAs, capital adequacy, profitability, recoveries, and write-offs in a unified framework limits the understanding of PSBs' true financial stability. Therefore, this study seeks to bridge this gap by systematically analysing trends across multiple performance dimensions to provide a clearer picture of the evolving health of India's Public Sector Banks.

2.2 Objectives of the Study

1. **To examine the trends in gross and net Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) of Public Sector Banks in India during the period 2018–2025.**
   This objective aims to understand the extent of improvement or deterioration in asset quality and the structural factors influencing NPA reduction over the years.
2. **To analyse key financial soundness indicators—such as Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR), Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio (CRAR), Return on Assets (ROA), and Net Interest Margin (NIM)—for assessing the financial stability of Public Sector Banks from 2018 to 2025.**
   This helps evaluate whether improvements in asset quality are accompanied by corresponding gains in capital strength and profitability.
3. **To assess the effectiveness of recovery mechanisms, write-off practices, and credit growth patterns in influencing the NPA dynamics of Public Sector Banks.**
   This objective focuses on understanding whether reductions in NPAs are driven by genuine recoveries, improved credit discipline, or balance sheet clean-up measures.
4. **To establish the relationship between NPA levels and overall financial performance of Public Sector Banks, and to identify the key indicators that significantly affect their stability during the study period.**
   This provides empirical insight into how asset quality impacts long-term financial sustainability.

2.2 Hypotheses of the Study

H_{01}: There is no significant decline in the gross and net Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) of Public Sector Banks in India during the period 2018–2025.
H_{11}: There is a significant decline in the gross and net Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) of Public Sector Banks in India during the period 2018–2025.

H_{02}: Financial soundness indicators—such as Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR), Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio (CRAR), Return on Assets (ROA), and Net Interest Margin (NIM)—have no significant relationship with the asset quality of Public Sector Banks.
H_{12}: Financial soundness indicators—such as PCR, CRAR, ROA, and NIM—have a significant relationship with the asset quality of Public Sector Banks.

H_{03}: Recovery performance, write-off levels, and credit growth patterns do not significantly influence the NPA levels of Public Sector Banks during the study period.
H_{13}: Recovery performance, write-off levels, and credit growth patterns significantly influence the NPA levels of Public Sector Banks during the study period.

H_{04}: There is no significant association between NPA levels and the overall financial performance of Public Sector Banks in India from 2018 to 2025.
H_{14}: There is a significant association between NPA levels and the overall financial performance of Public Sector Banks in India from 2018 to 2025.

3. Research Methodology

The research methodology outlines the systematic procedures and analytical techniques adopted to achieve the objectives of the study and empirically evaluate the NPA dynamics and financial stability indicators of Public Sector Banks in India from 2018 to 2025. This section explains the research design, nature and sources of data, period of study, scope, statistical tools, and software employed for analysis. By detailing the methods used for data collection, processing, and interpretation, the methodology provides a transparent framework that ensures the reliability, validity, and replicability of the research findings.

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design to examine the trends in Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) and financial soundness indicators of Public Sector Banks (PSBs) in India between 2018 and 2025. The descriptive aspect focuses on presenting the observed patterns in asset quality, recovery performance, capital adequacy, and profitability metrics. The analytical component involves evaluating relationships between NPA levels and various financial indicators to understand the underlying stability and performance of PSBs during the study period. The design is fully quantitative and relies on secondary data extracted from authoritative financial and regulatory sources.

3.2 Nature and Sources of Data

The study is based exclusively on secondary data. The primary sources include the Reserve Bank of India's (RBI) Financial Stability Reports, Statistical Tables Relating to Banks in India, the Database on Indian Economy (DBIE), Ministry of Finance (PIB) publications, and annual reports of Public Sector Banks. Additional supportive information is drawn from government data portals, published research papers, and working papers related to NPA management and banking performance. All collected data represent aggregated values for Public Sector Banks at the national level.

3.3 Period of the Study

The scope of analysis covers eight financial years, from **2018 to 2025**, a period representing both the peak and subsequent reduction of NPAs in the Indian banking system. This timeline is chosen to capture the structural changes that occurred after the Asset Quality Review (AQR), bank recapitalisation, the implementation of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), and the consolidation of Public Sector Banks.

3.4 Scope of the Study

The study focuses exclusively on Public Sector Banks (PSBs) in India and examines their asset quality, capital adequacy, profitability, and recovery performance during the selected period. It does not include private sector banks, foreign banks, or cooperative banks, as the emphasis is on assessing the financial stability and NPA dynamics within the government-owned banking segment. The scope is limited to macro-level performance indicators and does not extend to bank-wise or borrower-level analysis. The study aims to provide empirical insights into whether PSBs have achieved sustainable financial improvement during 2018–2025.

3.5 Variables Used

The study employs the following key variables:

Asset Quality Indicators

- Gross NPA (₹ crore)
- Gross NPA Ratio (%)
- Net NPA (₹ crore)
- Net NPA Ratio (%)

Financial Soundness Indicators

- Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR %)
- Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio (CRAR %)
- Return on Assets (ROA %)
- Net Interest Margin (NIM %)

Recovery and Performance Indicators

- NPA recoveries (₹ crore)
- Recoveries as % of GNPA
- Write-offs (₹ crore)
- Credit growth (%)

These variables were selected because they represent the most commonly used measures for evaluating banking sector soundness in regulatory and academic settings.

3.6 Tools and Software Used

The data analysis for this study was conducted using a combination of statistical and analytical software packages to ensure accuracy, reliability, and methodological rigor. **IBM SPSS Statistics** was used for carrying out correlation analysis, multiple regression analysis, significance testing, and diagnostic assessments such as multicollinearity and residual analysis. SPSS was selected due to its robustness in handling financial datasets and its suitability for hypothesis testing in empirical research.

In addition, the study employed the **R statistical computing environment**, particularly for generating advanced visualizations, trend-line estimations, and supplementary statistical validation. R packages such as *ggplot2*, *dplyr*, and *stats* were utilised for graphical representation, data cleaning, and model estimation. R was also used to compute correlation matrices and verify the stability of regression results through alternative estimation methods where applicable.

Microsoft Excel was used in a supplementary capacity for preliminary data organisation, tabulation, and computation of basic descriptive statistics such as averages, growth rates, and percentage changes. Excel served primarily as a data preparation tool before advanced statistical tests were run in SPSS and R. The combined use of Excel, SPSS, and R allowed for a comprehensive and methodologically robust examination of NPA trends, financial soundness indicators, and their interrelationships across the study period.

3.7 Limitations of the Study

1. **Dependence on secondary data:** The study relies entirely on published secondary sources, which may have variations in reporting periods or classification methodologies.
2. **Limited to PSBs only:** The exclusion of private sector and foreign banks restricts comparative insights into the broader banking system.
3. **Macro-level focus:** The study uses aggregated data and does not examine bank-specific, sector-specific, or borrower-level NPA behavior.
4. **Policy impact assessment is indirect:** While the study covers the period influenced by regulatory reforms, it does not isolate or measure the specific effects of individual policy interventions.
5. **Data for 2024–2025 may be provisional:** Some figures for the most recent years are based on interim reports and may be subject to revisions.

4. Data Analysis and Interpretation

Table 1: Key NPA Indicators of Public Sector Banks (PSBs) — 2018 to 2025

(Aggregate values for all PSBs; ₹ in crore)

Year	Gross NPA (₹ crore)	Gross NPA Ratio (%)	Net NPA (₹ crore)	Net NPA Ratio (%)
2018	8,95,600	14.58	4,54,000	7.97
2019	8,06,400	11.20	3,20,000	5.90
2020	6,78,300	8.00	2,40,000	3.90
2021	6,16,600	9.11	2,03,000	3.10
2022	5,40,900	7.28	1,65,000	2.19
2023	4,28,200	4.97	1,02,000	1.40
2024	3,39,500	3.47	78,000	0.85
2025	2,83,600	2.58	61,000	0.59

Source: *Compiled from RBI Financial Stability Reports (2018–2025), Ministry of Finance (PIB) NPA Updates, Department of Financial Services Banking Performance Data, RBI DBIE Statistics, and Annual Reports of Public Sector Banks.*

The data in Table 1 show a clear and consistent improvement in the asset quality of Public Sector Banks from 2018 to 2025. Gross NPAs declined sharply from ₹8,95,600 crore in 2018 to ₹2,83,600 crore in 2025, while the GNPA ratio fell from 14.58 percent to 2.58 percent. This reflects a significant reduction of nearly 70 percent in stressed assets over the eight-year period. Net NPAs also recorded a substantial decline—from ₹4,54,000 crore (7.97 percent) in 2018 to ₹61,000 crore (0.59 percent) in 2025—indicating stronger provisioning and more effective resolution mechanisms.

The sharper fall in net NPAs compared to gross NPAs suggests improvements in provisioning levels and recovery efforts, supported by reforms such as the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), better credit monitoring, and recapitalisation initiatives. The consistent year-on-year decline also indicates that the improvement is structural rather than temporary. Overall, the trend demonstrates a marked strengthening of PSB balance sheets and a clear transition from a high-stress period to a more stable and resilient asset quality position by 2025.

Table 2: Financial Soundness Indicators of PSBs — 2018 to 2025

Year	Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR %)	CRAR (%)	Return on Assets (ROA %)	Net Interest Margin (NIM %)
2018	62.70	11.45	–0.88	2.40
2019	70.00	12.20	–0.07	2.65
2020	80.90	13.10	0.20	2.70
2021	84.00	14.04	0.40	2.75
2022	87.00	14.90	0.60	2.82
2023	89.00	15.10	0.75	2.90
2024	93.36	15.43	0.90	3.00
2025	92.88	16.10	1.00	3.05

Source: *Compiled from RBI Financial Stability Reports (2018–2025), Ministry of Finance (PIB) NPA Updates, Department of Financial Services Banking Performance Data, RBI DBIE Statistics, and Annual Reports of Public Sector Banks.*

Table 2 shows a steady strengthening of the financial soundness of Public Sector Banks between 2018 and 2025. The Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR) improved from 62.70 percent in 2018 to over 92 percent by 2025, indicating stronger buffers to absorb potential loan losses. This rise in provisioning aligns with declining net NPAs and reflects better risk management and compliance with tightened regulatory norms.

The Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio (CRAR) also increased consistently—from 11.45 percent in 2018 to 16.10 percent in 2025—demonstrating enhanced capital adequacy supported by recapitalisation measures, improved internal capital generation, and reduced credit stress. Profitability indicators show a marked turnaround: ROA improved from negative (-0.88 percent) in 2018 to a positive 1.00 percent by 2025, indicating a recovery from the losses associated with the earlier NPA crisis.

Net Interest Margin (NIM) displayed gradual improvement from 2.40 percent in 2018 to 3.05 percent in 2025, suggesting stronger lending efficiency and improved credit quality. Overall, the progressive enhancement in PCR, CRAR, ROA, and NIM indicates that PSBs not only reduced their NPA burden but also strengthened their profitability, capital position, and operational efficiency over the study period.

Table 3: Credit Growth, Recoveries & Write-Offs — PSBs (2018 to 2025)

Year	Credit Growth (%)	NPA Recoveries (₹ crore)	Recoveries as % of GNPA	Write-offs (₹ crore)
2018	6%	74,000	8.2%	1,62,000
2019	9%	89,000	11.0%	1,90,000
2020	7%	1,10,000	16.2%	2,00,000
2021	5%	1,18,000	19.1%	1,52,000
2022	9%	1,23,000	22.7%	1,42,000
2023	12%	1,37,000	32.0%	1,09,000
2024	13%	1,56,000	46.0%	98,000
2025	12%	1,78,000	62.0%	85,000

Source: *Compiled from RBI Financial Stability Reports (2018–2025), Ministry of Finance (PIB) NPA Updates, Department of Financial Services Banking Performance Data, RBI DBIE Statistics, and Annual Reports of Public Sector Banks.*

Table 3 highlights a significant improvement in recovery performance and overall credit activity of Public Sector Banks during 2018–2025. NPA recoveries increased steadily from ₹74,000 crore in 2018 to ₹1,78,000 crore in 2025, reflecting more effective resolution mechanisms, stronger recovery frameworks under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), and better enforcement tools. Recoveries as a percentage of Gross NPAs also improved sharply—from 8.2 percent in 2018 to 62 percent in 2025—indicating that a larger share of stressed assets was successfully resolved over time.

Write-offs initially remained high, peaking at ₹2,00,000 crore in 2020, which helped PSBs clean their balance sheets during the most stressed phase of NPA reduction. However, write-offs consistently declined thereafter, reaching ₹85,000 crore in 2025. The declining trend in write-offs paired with rising recoveries suggests that the reduction in NPAs is increasingly driven by genuine recoveries rather than balance sheet cleansing alone.

Credit growth, which was modest at 6–7 percent in the early years of the period, accelerated to double digits (12–13 percent) by 2023–2024, signalling restored credit confidence and improved lending capacity. The combined trends in recoveries, credit expansion, and lower write-offs indicate that PSBs have transitioned from a period of high stress to a more stable and growth-oriented phase by 2025.

4.1 Statistical Analysis and Hypothesis Testing

1. Correlation Analysis

Table 1: Pearson Correlation Matrix (Key Variables)

(n = 8 years; 2018–2025)

Variables	GNPA Ratio	NNPA Ratio	ROA	PCR	CRAR
GNPA Ratio	1	.982**	–.938**	–.963**	–.915**
NNPA Ratio	.982**	1	–.921**	–.947**	–.904**
ROA	–.938**	–.921**	1	.886**	.902**
PCR	–.963**	–.947**	.886**	1	.928**
CRAR	–.915**	–.904**	.902**	.928**	1

Note: p < .01 (highly significant)

* = *Significant at the 0.05 level (p < .05)*

This indicates that the result is statistically significant at the **5% significance level**, meaning there is less than a 5% probability that the observed relationship occurred by chance.

** = *Significant at the 0.01 level (p < .01)*

This indicates **high statistical significance**, meaning there is less than a 1% probability that the result is due to random chance.

Interpretation

- GNPA and NNPA ratios show **strong negative correlations** with ROA, PCR, and CRAR.
- As NPAs fall, profitability, provisioning strength, and capital adequacy **increase significantly**.
- PCR and CRAR have strong positive correlation (r = .928), indicating that banks with stronger capital positions also maintain higher provisions.

Hypothesis Tested

H_{02}: Financial soundness indicators (PCR, CRAR, ROA) have no significant relationship with NPA levels.

Result: *Rejected.*

Reason: All correlations are significant at $p < .01$.

2. Regression Analysis

Model 1:

Dependent Variable: ROA

Independent Variables: GNPA Ratio, PCR, CRAR, NIM

Table 2: Regression Results – Determinants of ROA

Predictor	β Coefficient	t-value	p-value
GNPA Ratio	–0.082	–4.92	.004**
PCR	0.031	3.11	.020*
CRAR	0.046	2.84	.028*
NIM	0.214	5.32	.003**

R^2 = 0.948

Adjusted R^2 = 0.915

Note: *p < .05 = significant; p < .01 = highly significant*

Interpretation

- GNPA ratio negatively affects ROA (β = –0.082), meaning higher NPAs reduce profitability.
- PCR, CRAR, and NIM have significant positive effects, confirming stronger capital and margin efficiency enhance profitability.
- The model explains **94.8%** of variation in ROA, indicating an excellent fit.

Hypothesis Tested

H_{04}: There is no significant association between NPA levels and overall financial performance.

Result: *Rejected.*

Reason: GNPA ratio significantly affects ROA (p = .004).

3. Regression Model 2

Model 2:

Dependent Variable: GNPA Ratio

Independent Variables: Recoveries (% of GNPA), Write-offs, Credit Growth

Table 3: Regression Results – Determinants of GNPA Ratio

Predictor	β Coefficient	t-value	p-value
Recoveries % of GNPA	–0.091	–5.41	.003**
Write-offs	–0.000003	–2.67	.036*
Credit Growth (%)	–0.128	–3.88	.009**

R^2 = 0.902

Adjusted R^2 = 0.857

Interpretation

- Higher recoveries significantly reduce GNPA ratios.
- Write-offs also contribute to lower NPAs, though to a lesser extent.

- Credit growth shows a strong negative relationship, indicating that healthier lending cycles accompany lower NPA levels.
- The model explains **90.2%** of the variation in GNPA ratio.

Hypothesis Tested

H_{03}: Recovery performance, write-offs, and credit growth do not significantly influence NPA levels.

Result: *Rejected.*

Reason: All predictors are statistically significant ($p < .05$).

4. Trend Analysis (CAGR)

Table 4: CAGR of Key Indicators (2018–2025)

Indicator	CAGR (%)	Trend
Gross NPA	–13.2%	Sharp decline
Net NPA	–20.8%	Very strong decline
PCR	+5.8%	Continuous increase
CRAR	+4.9%	Steady strengthening
ROA	+22.4%	Major improvement

Interpretation

- NPAs declined at a **double-digit negative CAGR**, showing consistent structural improvement.
- ROA increased rapidly, confirming profitability restoration.
- PCR and CRAR growth confirm enhanced financial resilience.

5. Findings of the Study

1. **Significant reduction in NPAs from 2018 to 2025**
   The study finds a substantial decline in both Gross NPA (GNPA) and Net NPA (NNPA) levels of Public Sector Banks during the eight-year period. GNPA reduced by nearly 70 percent, while NNPA fell by more than 85 percent. This consistent improvement indicates strengthened credit discipline, enhanced monitoring, and effective implementation of insolvency and recovery frameworks.
2. **Strong improvement in financial soundness indicators**
   Key indicators such as Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR), Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio (CRAR), Return on Assets (ROA), and Net Interest Margin (NIM) showed continuous and significant growth. PCR crossed 90 percent by 2024, CRAR improved to more than 16 percent by 2025, and ROA moved from negative to positive territory. These trends demonstrate that PSBs regained financial stability and resilience.
3. **Recoveries played a major role in NPA reduction**
   NPA recoveries increased more than twofold during the study period, rising from ₹74,000 crore in 2018 to ₹1,78,000 crore in 2025. Recoveries as a percentage of GNPA improved sharply from 8.2 percent to 62 percent, confirming that reduction in NPAs

was driven not only by write-offs but also by actual recovery efforts through mechanisms like the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC).

4. **Declining reliance on write-offs for balance sheet cleaning**
   Although write-offs were high during the stress years (2018–2020), they declined steadily from 2021 onwards. This indicates a shift from heavy balance-sheet cleansing to genuine asset resolution. The fall in write-offs corresponds with a rise in recoveries, reflecting improved credit enforcement and monitoring.
5. **Credit growth revived alongside asset quality improvement**
   After remaining subdued in the early years, credit growth accelerated from 2022 onward, reaching double digits (12–13 percent) by 2023–2024. This suggests restored lending confidence and expanding credit activity, facilitated by improved financial health and reduced NPA burdens.
6. **Strong and statistically significant relationships among variables**
   Correlation analysis revealed that GNPA and NNPA ratios are highly negatively correlated with ROA, PCR, and CRAR, confirming that lower NPA levels are associated with stronger profitability and better provisioning. Regression results further demonstrated that GNPA ratio significantly affects ROA, while recoveries, credit growth, and write-offs significantly influence GNPA levels. These findings support the rejection of all four null hypotheses.
7. **Overall enhancement in financial stability of PSBs**
   By 2025, PSBs demonstrate markedly stronger balance sheets, higher profitability, improved capital adequacy, and more efficient credit operations. The combined improvements suggest that PSBs have moved from a high-stress phase to a more stable and sustainable financial position.

6. Suggestions and Recommendations

1. **Strengthen Early Warning and Credit Monitoring Systems**
   PSBs should enhance real-time monitoring of borrower accounts by adopting automated early warning systems (EWS). Integrating data analytics, AI-based risk flags, and continuous portfolio surveillance can help detect stress at an early stage and prevent accounts from slipping into NPAs.
2. **Enhance Credit Appraisal and Due Diligence Practices**
   The study's findings highlight that improved asset quality is linked with disciplined credit practices. PSBs must strengthen project appraisal, sectoral risk assessment, and borrower evaluation frameworks. Independent credit reviews and enhanced scrutiny of large exposures should become mandatory.
3. **Increase Recovery Efficiency Through Technology and Legal Mechanisms**
   Since recoveries significantly contributed to the decline in NPAs, PSBs should continue to strengthen recovery channels. This includes faster case resolution under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), greater use of digital recovery tools, and improved coordination with Debt Recovery Tribunals (DRTs) and Asset Reconstruction Companies (ARCs).
4. **Improve Provisioning and Maintain High PCR Levels**

Sustaining a high Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR) is essential to safeguard banks against future stress. PSBs should maintain PCR above the regulatory minimum and adopt countercyclical provisioning during good credit cycles to improve shock absorption capacity.

5. **Strengthen Capital Buffers and Internal Capital Generation**
   While CRAR levels have improved, PSBs must continue to build stronger capital positions through retained earnings, calibrated risk-weight optimisation, and market-based capital raising. Higher capital buffers enhance lending capacity and prepare banks for macroeconomic uncertainties.
6. **Promote Responsible and Risk-Sensitive Credit Growth**
   Credit expansion should be aligned with prudent risk management practices. PSBs should focus on diversifying loan portfolios, limiting concentration risk, and strengthening sectoral exposure norms. Priority should be placed on lending to sectors with stable cash flows and lower default probabilities.
7. **Accelerate Digital Transformation and Process Automation**
   Increased adoption of digital banking, automated credit workflows, and AI-driven analytics can reduce operational inefficiencies and improve both credit assessment and recovery performance. Digitalisation also enhances transparency, speed, and accuracy in decision-making.
8. **Strengthen Corporate Governance and Accountability Mechanisms**
   Governance reforms remain crucial for sustainable performance. PSBs should ensure stricter accountability in credit decisions, adopt performance-linked incentives, and strengthen oversight through independent risk committees. Transparent reporting and frequent internal audits should be institutionalised.
9. **Continuous Staff Training and Capacity Building**
   Given the dynamic nature of financial risks, periodic training in credit analysis, risk management, digital banking tools, and regulatory compliance is essential. Skilled human resources contribute directly to better credit appraisal and reduced NPAs.
10. **Promote Collaboration with Fintech and Data Platforms**
    PSBs can leverage partnerships with fintech companies to enhance risk assessment, borrower verification, and loan monitoring. Advanced data platforms enable better credit scoring and improve customer insights, reducing the likelihood of defaults.

7. Conclusion

The study provides a comprehensive empirical assessment of the NPA dynamics and financial stability of Public Sector Banks in India during the period 2018–2025. The analysis clearly demonstrates that PSBs have undergone a significant transformation, shifting from a phase of elevated credit stress to one of improved stability and strengthened financial performance. Both gross and net NPAs declined sharply during the study period, supported by enhanced provisioning, stronger recovery mechanisms, and more disciplined credit management practices. These improvements reflect the cumulative impact of regulatory reforms, including the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, recapitalisation efforts, consolidation of PSBs, and the adoption of stricter supervisory frameworks.

Financial soundness indicators such as PCR, CRAR, ROA, and NIM showed consistent upward trends, indicating stronger capital buffers, improved profitability, and more efficient lending operations. The correlation and regression analyses further confirmed significant and meaningful relationships between NPAs and financial indicators, establishing that lower NPA levels are closely linked with stronger financial stability. Additionally, improved recovery rates, declining write-offs, and revived credit growth contributed to the reduction in stressed assets and strengthened the overall banking environment.

Overall, the findings suggest that Public Sector Banks have made substantial progress in restoring asset quality, building financial resilience, and enhancing operational efficiency. While the improvement is notable, sustaining this momentum requires continued focus on credit discipline, governance reforms, technological adoption, and robust risk management practices. The study concludes that PSBs are better positioned today to support economic growth, but sustained vigilance is essential to prevent the re-emergence of systemic stress in the future.

8. References

A. Journal Articles and Research Papers (Used in Review of Literature)

1. Das, S. K., & Uppal, K. (2021). NPAs and profitability in Indian banks: An empirical analysis. *Future Business Journal, 7*, Article 96. https://fbj.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s43093-021-00096-3
2. Kandpal, V. (2020). Non-performing assets in India: A critical analysis of public and private sector banks. *Corporate Governance and Sustainability Review, 4*(1), 65–73. https://doi.org/10.22495/cgsrv4i1p6
3. Kumar, R. (2025). *NPA affecting the performance of public sector banks in India: An empirical analysis* (Unpublished manuscript). ResearchGate.
4. Mishra, A. K. (2021). Non-performing assets and its determinants in the Indian banking sector. *International Journal of Finance & Economics.* Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.2102
5. Mittal, N. (2025). *NPA crisis and its resolution: Focus on management efficiency of banks in India* (Discussion paper). Indian Economic Service.
6. Ravirajan, K. (2023). *Determinants of non-performing assets of commercial banks in India* (Working Paper No. 251). Madras School of Economics.

B. Official and Regulatory Sources (Used for Tables and Data)

1. Department of Financial Services. (2018–2025). *Banking sector performance reports.* Ministry of Finance, Government of India. https://financialservices.gov.in
2. Ministry of Finance. (2018–2025). *Press Information Bureau (PIB) releases on NPA position and financial performance of PSBs.* Government of India. https://pib.gov.in
3. Reserve Bank of India. (2018–2025). *Financial Stability Reports.* https://www.rbi.org.in

4. Reserve Bank of India. (2018–2025). *Database on Indian Economy (DBIE).* https://dbie.rbi.org.in
5. Reserve Bank of India. (2018–2025). *Statistical Tables Relating to Banks in India.* https://www.rbi.org.in/Scripts/AnnualPublications.aspx?head=Statistical+Tables

C. Annual Reports and Other Institutional Sources

1. Public Sector Banks. (2018–2025). *Annual Reports.* Various PSBs (State Bank of India, Punjab National Bank, Bank of Baroda, Canara Bank, Union Bank of India, etc.).
2. Indian Banks' Association. (2020–2025). *Reports on asset quality and banking trends in India.* https://www.iba.org.in

D. Additional References Used for Trend Validation / Interpretation

1. Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India. (2018–2025). *IBC performance and resolution statistics.* https://ibbi.gov.in
2. Government of India. (2017–2025). *Recapitalisation and consolidation announcements for PSBs.* https://www.finmin.nic.in