Biosensors and Their Applications: A Revolution in Analytical Detection

Reena Kushwaha*, Auwalu Abdullahi Shehu

Department of chemistry, Kalinga University,

Naya Raipur-402101, Chhattisgarh, India

Abstract

Biosensors are types of bio-analytical sensors that comprise physical transducer and biological recognition element. They recognize, measure, and assay analytes of interest with high rate and accuracy. Their advantage—high specificity, in situ measurement, and low detection limit—has made them prominent to be used for medical diagnosis, environmental monitoring, food safety, agriculture, and bioprocess control.In medical practice, biosensors facilitate early diagnosis of diseases, real-time monitoring of physiological parameters, and targeted therapy, which ensures improved care for patients and clinical burden mitigation. In environmental science, they offer real-time information on pollutants and toxins, facilitating conservation and public health. In the agri-food industry, they facilitate quality and safety of products through detecting early contaminants and pathogens. The central elements of a biosensor—transducer, bioreceptor, and processor—cooperate to produce an analytically detectable signal from biological interactions. Innovation is being spurred by such new technologies as artificial intelligence, synthetic biology, microfluidics, and nanotechnology, and biosensors are becoming wearable or portable, more sensitive and selective.".Technologies such as CRISPR-based, smartphone-based, and lab-on-a-chip biosensors have killed the price and made it cheaper over the last few years, particularly in rural and resource-poor settings. The future of biosensor technology will be multi-analyte sensors for the simultaneous detection of multiple biomarkers, IoT-based devices for real-time as well as remote monitoring, and disposable biorecognition modules for maximum stability and economic viability. Along with this, AI and machine learning will further advance analysis of data, predictive diagnosis, and pattern recognition to bring the biosensor from the laboratory to the very center of everyday life. With the speed of innovation accelerating, biosensors will increasingly play a central role in finding solutions to 21st-century healthcare, agriculture, smart infrastructure, and environmental protection challenges.

Keywords -Biosensors, bioreceptor, transducer, medical diagnostics, environmental monitoring, nanotechnology, artificial intelligence, real-time monitoring.

1. Introduction

Biosensors are sensor devices that couple a biological recognition component with a physicochemical transducer for measuring and detecting target analytes (Turner, 2013).Hybrid systems make use of the selectivity of biological approaches and the sensitivity and speed of electronic detection in real-time and precise monitoring in a broad range of applications. The biosensor technology over the last decades progressed from straightforward laboratory test equipment to complex, portable devices applied in a broad range of applications in medicine, environmental monitoring, food protection, and industrial process measurement (Justino et al., 2017; Kaushik et al., 2022). Biosensors are revolutionizing medical diagnosis with fast and minimally invasive detection of disease biomarkers, which enable earlier detection and more targeted treatment protocols.(Kim et al., 2019). Point-of-care (POC) integration with testing platforms widens diagnostic accessibility, particularly to distant or resource-poor areas. (Arshavsky-Graham et al., 2020; Sun & Hall, 2019). Environmental monitoring biosensors also monitor water, air, and soil contaminants, pathogens, and toxins to further manage the environment and guarantee public protection(Justino et al., 2017; Yadav et al., 2022). Improvements in material science and nanotechnology—most notably the fabrication of nanomaterials, conducting polymers, and biocompatible surfaces—have immensely improved biosensor miniaturization, stability, and sensitivity (Kumar et al., 2020).

Additionally, advancement in wireless communication and digital technology has made it possible for wearable and implantable biosensors for real-time health monitoring under natural conditions (Bandodkar & Wang, 2014). Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) technologies have brought a new arena of functionality, with predictive analysis and interpretation of data in real-time (Sharifuzzaman et al., 2023; Ray et al., 2019). This review provides an extensive summary of biosensor technology as classification, mechanism, components, and application. Additionally, it sets future trends and emerging tendencies that center biosensors into the spotlight of smart diagnosis and environmental sustainability.

2. Components and working principle of Biosensors

For biosensor functioning, high sensitivity and specificity, Simple composition of biosensor is critical. Three components of biosensor are biological recognition component (bioreceptor), a transducer, and an signal processor/displayer unit. The role of each of these components in the accurate detection and measurement of the target analytes is critical. The interaction between these components makes biosensors very useful in a vast array of applications, from clinical diagnosis to environmental monitoring (Turner, 2013; D'Orazio, 2003).

2.1 Biological Recognition Element

The bioreceptor or the biological recognition element is the central component of the biosensing system. It is used for specific interaction with the target analyte and thus confers specificity to the biosensor. Depending on the nature of the analyte to be sensed and application, the bioreceptor nature can be modified. One of the most widely used bioreceptors are the enzymes, depending on their catalytic activity. For instance, glucose oxidase is used widely in glucose biosensors to catalyze the oxidation of glucose to yield a handy measurable by-product (Heller & Feldman, 2008). An instance of another bioreceptor is antibodies, which provide high selectivity and affinity to be targeted on antigens and are apt to be utilized in immunosensors in clinical diagnosis (Lowe, 2007). Short DNA or RNA molecules are employed as probes in nucleic acid biosensors, which hybridize with complementary strands in the sample for the recognition of specific genetic sequences.

This technique is employed widely for the recognition of pathogens and genetic diagnosis (Velusamy et al., 2010). Even whole cells or tissues can be employed as bioreceptors and have a wider range of recognition. These bioystems may be designed to respond to complicated stimuli like toxins, pH, or metabolic disease. Furthermore, naturally occurring receptor proteins for the binding of hormone, neurotransmitter, or drug may serve as membrane-bound receptors that may be utilized to bind ligands of interest, expanding the biosensor platform palette further (Pohanka, 2017).

2.2 Transducer

When the immobilized bioreceptor is come with the analyte show, the resultant biological response has to be converted into a readable signal. This is performed by the transducer, which serves as a bridge between the biological and electronic interface. Several forms of transducers are present in biosensors that are designed for different detection schemes. Electrochemical transducers are most commonly applied and come in a variety of forms including amperometric (measurement of current), potentiometric (measurement of voltage), and conductometric (measurement of conductivity). They are optimally employed to track electroactive species or enzymic reactions that involve electron generation or consumption (Justino et al., 2017). Optical transducers are based on modifications of light properties like absorbance, fluorescence, luminescence, or refractive index and are of extreme use in biosensors that are labeled detection or molecular interaction-based affecting optical signals (Damborsky et al., 2016).

Heat evolved or absorbed in biochemical reactions is monitored by thermal transducers, and they are a worthwhile but second best way of determining enzymatic or metabolic activity (Grieshaber et al., 2008). Piezoelectric transducers, which sense mass changes through a change in a quartz crystal resonant frequency, are employed in label-free biosensors detecting molecular binding events. The selection of the transducer depends on the usage intended, level of sensitivity needed, and nature of target analyte.

2.3 Signal Processor and Display

Once the biological interaction has been converted to a physical signal by the transducer, the signal is normally extremely weak and must be processed before it can be read by the user. The raw signal is amplified and filtered by the signal processor to eliminate noise and improve accuracy. Sophisticated signal processing hardware or software algorithms can also normalize the data and cross-reference it with standard references to provide reliability (Sethi, 2012). After processing, the signal is then translated into a friendly output format. This might be as simple as a digital display on a portable device or a complex graphical output on a computer or smartphone screen. The evolution of smart and miniaturized signal processors has greatly enhanced the portability and real-time capabilities of contemporary biosensors, which are now more convenient and more user-friendly for both laboratory and field applications (Ahmed et al., 2021).

3. Biosensor Classification

Biosensors are usually classified according to the transducer or biological recognition element utilized. This matters in choosing the best biosensor for specific applications, from clinical diagnosis to the environmental sector. They are mentioned in table 1.

3.1 Transducer-Based Classification

Electrochemical biosensors are the most utilized since miniaturization is simple, cheap, and sensitive. They translate biochemical reactions into electric signals and are utilized on a large scale in neurotransmitter quantification, monitoring of blood glucose concentration, and analysis of environmental samples for heavy metal ions (Ronkainen et al., 2010). Their compatibility with microelectronic systems makes them suitable for point-of-care devices.

Optical biosensors do, however, involve the use of light in the detection of the analytes, usually by means of processes like fluorescence, absorbance, or SPR. They share common uses in DNA hybridization assays, detection of pathogens, and identification of cancer biomarkers. Their specificity and real-time response make them particularly well-adapted to molecular diagnostics (Damborsky, Svitel, & Katrlik, 2016).

Piezoelectric biosensors respond to surface mass change on a sensor via energy of resonance frequency change. Piezoelectric biosensors are a treasure trove of real-time label-free biomolecular interaction monitoring, e.g., antigen-antibody interaction, and air-borne pathogen detection (Arlett, Myers, & Roukes, 2011). During biochemical reactions, thermal biosensors quantify heat produced or consumed. Although less frequently used, they are used in calorimetric analysis for some applications, i.e., enzyme kinetics and metabolic monitoring (Patel, 2002).

3.2 Classification according to Biological Element

ENRAGED on the basis of their biological recognition elements, enzyme-based biosensors constitute one of the most traditional kinds. They are applied to widespread use in clinical diagnosis and food processing technologies. Lactate biosensors, for example, constitute a form that is used to be traditionally applied in sports medicine, whereas urea biosensors contribute to monitoring kidney function (Sethi, 1994). Immunosensors use antibodies and hence can be very specific in detecting disease markers such as cardiac troponin or virus antigens such as SARS-CoV-2, and thus cannot be prevented in contemporary medical diagnostics.

DNA biosensors are based on nucleic acid-nucleic acid binding to detect genetic sequences, mutations, and pathogens. They are emerging as leading players in personalized medicine, forensic investigation, and detection of GMOs. Their capacity for the generation of large amounts of molecular data with high accuracy qualifies them as enhanced genomics tools (Grieshaber et al., 2008). Cellular biosensors, wherein a complete living cell functions as the transducer, are employed in the detection of environmental pollutants, toxins, and antibiotic resistance. Cellular biosensors give an integrated signal for biologically active compounds and are ideally situated in the detection of this in the context of toxicity screening and assessments of environmental hazards (Pancrazio et al., 1999).

Types of Biosensor	**Principle/Transducer**	**Application Areas**
Electrochemical Biosensor	Measures current, voltage, or impedance	• Glucose monitoring in diabetes • Detection of DNA/RNA • Water quality analysis
Optical Biosensor	Measures changes in light (absorbance, fluorescence, etc.)	• Pathogen detection • Cancer biomarker detection • Environmental toxin sensing
Thermal/Calorimetric Biosensor	Measures change in heat during biochemical reaction	• Enzyme activity monitoring • Immunoassays • Food quality control
Piezoelectric Biosensor	Detects mass change via frequency shift in quartz crystal	• Detection of bacteria/viruses • Drug screening • Environmental monitoring
Magnetic Biosensor	Uses magnetic particles for signal detection	• Molecular diagnostics • Cell separation • Biomedical imaging
Enzyme-based Biosensor	Uses enzyme-substrate interaction for signal generation	• Blood glucose measurement • Lactate monitoring in sports medicine • Bioprocess control
DNA Biosensor	Based on hybridization of complementary DNA strands	• Genetic disorder detection • Forensic analysis • Biothreat agent monitoring
Immunosensor	Based on antigen–antibody interaction	• Disease diagnostics (e.g., COVID-19, HIV)

		• Food allergen detection • Drug residue analysis
Cell-based Biosensor	Uses living cells to detect biochemical changes	• Cytotoxicity testing • Drug discovery • Environmental toxicity assessment
Wearable Biosensor	Integrated into wearable devices; monitors physiological data	• Health monitoring (heart rate, sweat, hydration) • Fitness tracking • Continuous glucose monitoring

Table 1. Types of biosensor and there application. (Turner, 2013; D'Orazio, 2003).

4. Applications of Biosensors

Biosensors are extensively used across many sectors since they can sense biological molecules with high sensitivity and specificity. Following is a description of some of the principal applications of biosensors, categorized by industry:

4.1 Medical Diagnostics

Medical diagnostics is the most relevant and sensitive area of application for biosensors, essentially because of infectious and chronic diseases prevalent all over the world. Biosensors are motivated by early diagnosis of disease, allowing for individualized treatment regimens, and in real-time monitoring, hence improving patient outcomes and reducing healthcare costs. Glucose testing has been the most successful commercial application. Electrochemical glucose biosensors, which are widely used by diabetic patients, constitute a multibillion-dollar industry and have revolutionized self-management and diabetes (Heller & Feldman, 2008; Turner, 2013). For cancer diagnosis, biosensors that can identify tumor-specific biomarkers such as PSA, HER2, or CA-125 are in the process of being engineered for the purpose of early diagnosis and monitoring of response to therapy (Justino et al., 2017). Biosensors are also becoming increasingly important in infectious disease control by enabling the ability to perform rapid point-of-care diagnostics for infectious agents such as HIV, Influenza, and emerging threats such as SARS-CoV-2 (Ahmed et al., 2021). Incorporation of biosensors in wearable biomedical devices has also led to real-time monitoring of physiological parameters like heart rate, oxygen saturation, sweat analysis, and markers of stress, with the potential for continuous and personalized care (Lowe, 2007; Zhang et al., 2019; Yetisen et al., 2013)

4.2 Environmental Monitoring

Environmental monitoring is increasingly dependent on biosensors, which allow quick, cost-effective, and sensitive analysis for the determination of environmental poisons and monitoring of the health of ecosystems (Vasilescu et al., 2016). Heavy metals such as lead and arsenic, nitrates, pesticides, and microbial pollutants are identified by biosensors in wastewaters and drinking water in aquatic quality surveillance (Grieshaber et al., 2008). Optical and electrochemical biosensors identify air pollutants such as ozone, nitrogen oxides, and VOCs, which have an important role to play in urban air pollution and industrial discharge management (Velusamy et al., 2010). Secondly, analysis of soil quality is also enabled by biosensor technology identifying pesticide residues and assessing nutrient availability and thus promoting sustainable agriculture as well as reducing damage to the environment (Pohanka, 2017). Such environment-based biosensors are not only important for online monitoring but also allow regulatory authorities to make evidence-based decisions regarding public health as well as environmental safety.(Singh & Singh, 2017).

4.3 Food Industry

The food sector is also among the major beneficiaries of biosensor technology with traceability, safety, and quality control being of top priority.Biosensors have been designed for detecting foodborne pathogenic bacteria including Salmonella, Listeria monocytogenes, and Escherichia coli to render raw and processed foods safe for consumption by the time they reach the consumers (Damborsky et al., 2016). Moreover, toxin determination with the aid of biosensors is able to identify toxic substances such as aflatoxins in grains and histamines in fish and seafood, which when ingested may lead to serious health problems (Justino et al., 2017). Biosensors also detect food adulteration and deterioration, making it possible for an individual to identify fake ingredients, oxidation products, or freshness loss during shipping and storage (Sethi, 2012). These applications increase traceability of food, lower economic losses, and deliver public health.(Xu et al., 2017; Amine et al., 2006)

4.4 Applications in Agriculture

Precision agriculture is based on agricultural biosensors, which deliver important information to maximize input and enhance crop health.Crop disease control is of specific application for biosensors, wherein early detection of fungal or bacterial infection can avoid infestation of huge areas and minimize the use of pesticides (Velusamy et al., 2010). Biosensors are also utilized in the measurement of nutrients such that past data on current soil nutrient content are retrieved and targeted fertilizer application is enabled, resulting in higher plant yield and minimal environmental run-off (Pohanka, 2017). Biosensors also have significant uses in GMO screening, where biosensors track genetically altered material in seed or harvest to meet regulation needs and respond to consumer expectation for labeling and security of food (Ahmed et al., 2021; Su & Li, 2004; Andreou & Clonis, 2002)

4.5 Industrial Applications

Biosensors are also significant in industry, especially in pharmaceuticals and biotechnology, where biosensors are required to control stringently and perform quality control.

In process control, biosensors are utilized in bioreactors to monitor significant parameters like pH, glucose, and oxygen levels continuously so that fermentation and production of the product under the best conditions can be obtained (Turner, 2013). In biosensors for quality control, biosensors assist in confirming purity and concentration levels of pharmaceutical chemicals in real-time, minimizing off-line sampling and analysis (Sethi, 2012). Treatment of wastewater also depends on biosensors for the analysis of biological oxygen demand (BOD), toxicity, and residual nutrients in industrial effluent, resulting in cost-saving and sustainable treatment processes (Grieshaber et al., 2008; Mulchandani & Rogers, 1998 ; Patel & Vyas, 2016)

5. New Advances in Biosensor Technology

Recent technological advancements have greatly enhanced the usefulness and functionality of biosensors, portability and sensitivity and real-time processing capability. The most revolutionary development is integration with nanotechnology. Graphene, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), and metal nanoparticles (gold and silver) are a class of nanomaterials that possess extremely high surface area-to-volume ratios, electrical conductivity, and catalytic behavior. These properties enhance better signal transduction efficiency, low detection limits, and sensitivity for biosensing devices (Pumera et al., 2007; Justino et al., 2017). Graphene field effect transistor biosensors, for instance, have been proven to be capable of biomarker detection at femtomolar concentration levels. Another milestone is the intersection of microfluidics with lab-on-a-chip (LOC) platforms to enable miniaturization and automatization of biosensing operations. These platforms lower reagent and sample volumes significantly and incorporate numerous laboratory functions—like mixing, sample preparation, and detection—on one chip. Since they are strongly integrated in a small region, they are extremely well suited for point-of-care diagnostics, especially in resource-poor environments (Sackmann et al., 2014).

The CRISPR-Cas tools originally designed for gene modification have been converted back to biosensing. CRISPR biosensors like SHERLOCK (Specific High-sensitivity Enzymatic Reporter unLOCKing) and DETECTR (DNA Endonuclease-Targeted CRISPR Trans Reporter) utilize guide RNAs to detect nucleic acids with record specificity and speed. These tools are transforming molecular diagnostics by virtue of ultra-sensitive, amplification-free detection of pathogens and genetic aberrations (Gootenberg et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018).

In addition, the integration of biosensors with Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Internet of Things (IoT) has made new visions of environmental and health monitoring from a distance possible. AI-based algorithms enable easy interpretation of complicated biosensor signals, enhance diagnostic specificity, and allow real-time pattern recognition. Simultaneously, IoT connectivity allows continuous sensing and cloud storage of the sensor signals so that biosensing platforms become smartphone or wearables-enabled and user-friendly ((Li et al., 2021)

6. Challenges in Biosensor Development

Even as applications and biosensor development are changing very quickly, there are a number of tremendously important issues which continue to limit their mass usage and commercial availability. Unstable good operating time and shelf-life of biosensors are some of the key issues. This is particularly applicable to biorecognition-element-based biosensors like enzymes or antibodies. These biocomponents are prone to external factors like temperature, humidity, and pH and thus ultimately degrade. Consequently, the reliability of the biosensor for a long period of time in real applications is put into jeopardy (Kimmel et al., 2021). Another major limitation is interference by the signal and non-specific binding, especially while employing complex biological matrices like blood, saliva, or wastewater. Non-specific binding may result in false readings due to interference with specificity of interaction between the bioreceptor and the analyte. Surface modification methods and antifouling coatings are tactics in development for minimizing such effects, but they enhance complexity and cost of fabrication (Ronkainen et al., 2010).

Miniaturization and incorporation of the biosensors into wearable or implantable devices represent the challenges of engineering and biocompatibility. It is challenging to ensure the materials used are flexible, not toxic, and will work in the long term in vivo without triggering immunity. Power consumption and data transmission in the miniaturized device are also challenges (Yin et al., 2021). Data interpretation and handling are also of paramount importance today, especially with the advent of multi-analyte or continuous monitoring biosensors. They provide tremendous quantities of real-time data, which have to be suitably handled, stored, and interpreted. Use of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms is an appreciated development but is now somewhat debatable in terms of algorithm transparency, data privacy, and clinical validation (Pereira et al., 2018). Finally, economic and regulatory limitations continue to be an issue. Developmental costs, the requirement for complicated validation procedures, and long regulatory clearance processes will be the hindrances to commercialization efforts. Standardization on more than one platform and the availability of universal performance metrics still lag and therefore cloud comparison and certification of biosensor products (Dincer et al., 2019)

Future Directions

The future direction in biosensor technology is non-invasive sensors that will monitor more than one biological parameter at a time and deliver real-time, custom diagnostic feedback. These technologies will enhance diagnostic precision and greatly enhance user comfort through the elimination of invasive procedures. The future biosensors will be embedded in smart technologies, i.e., smartphones, smartwatches, and wearable sensors, through which one can track health in real time and send and analyze data in real time outside the clinic. Biocompatible materials will also prevail over the design of implantable sensors that will not generate large immune responses or tissue damage and will be capable of lasting for extended durations. Synthetic biology is one of the most promising future technologies in the development of highly discriminative and sensitive biological recognition elements, and machine learning platforms will be used for heavy-duty biosensor data decoding, sensor optimization, and health-pattern prediction. Interfacing these technologies is opening the door for biosensors to play a role of extreme importance in precision healthcare and personalized medicine.

Conclusion

Biosensors are the ultimate blend of sciences—biology, chemistry, materials science, and electronics—into beautifully elegant analytical devices precisely engineered to address challenges of contemporary science and technology. Their inherent capability of sensing biological and chemical species with the highest possible precision, sensitivity, and speed has made them an integral component in applications from clinical diagnosis and environmental monitoring to food quality control and biotechnology. With improvements in technology and the performance and ability of sensors, biosensors will increasingly be utilized in health care. This includes detection at the time of disease onset, monitoring of treatment in situ, and prevention of disease. They are increasingly being applied to the environment with increasing needs for portable real-time monitoring systems. To bridge existing limitations—i.e., insufficient lifetimes of operation, sensitivity to external interferences, and inefficiency to mass production—an intense interdisciplinary effort, particularly by nanotechnology researchers, synthetic biologists, data analysts, and biomedical engineers, will be required. As rapidly expanding development and integration of technology into digital health infrastructures are being undertaken, biosensors are ready to initiate a new era of intelligent, networked, and personalized diagnostic system.

Reference

1. Ahmed, M. U., Saaem, I., Wu, P. C., & Brown, A. S. (2021). Personalized diagnostics and biosensors: A review of the recent advances and future outlook. Analytica Chimica Acta, 1159, 338384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2021.338384
2. Bandodkar, A. J., & Wang, J. (2014). Non-invasive wearable electrochemical sensors: A review. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 76, 173–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2015.07.001
3. Chen, A., Yang, S., Wang, Z., & Liu, Y. (2021). Biosensors for point-of-care diagnostics. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 190, 113408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2021.113408
4. Chen, J. S., Ma, E., Harrington, L. B., Da Costa, M., Tian, X., Palefsky, J. M., & Doudna, J. A. (2018). CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. Science, 360(6387), 436–439. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar6245
5. Damborsky, P., Svitel, J., & Katrlik, J. (2016). Optical biosensors. Essays in Biochemistry, 60(1), 91–100. https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20150010
6. Dincer, C., Bruch, R., Kling, A., Dittrich, P. S., & Urban, G. A. (2019). Multiplexed point-of-care testing – xPOCT. Trends in Biotechnology, 37(7), 728–742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2018.12.010
7. D'Orazio, P. (2003). Biosensors in clinical chemistry. Clinica Chimica Acta, 334(1-2), 41–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-8981(03)00251-6
8. Gootenberg, J. S., Abudayyeh, O. O., Kellner, M. J., Joung, J., Collins, J. J., & Zhang, F. (2017). Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. Science, 356(6336), 438–442. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam9321

9. Grieshaber, D., MacKenzie, R., Voros, J., & Reimhult, E. (2008). Electrochemical biosensors—Sensor principles and architectures. Sensors, 8(3), 1400–1458. https://doi.org/10.3390/s8031400
10. Heller, A., & Feldman, B. (2008). Electrochemical glucose sensors and their applications in diabetes management. Chemical Reviews, 108(7), 2482–2505. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr068069y
11. Justino, C. I. L., Rocha-Santos, T. A. P., & Duarte, A. C. (2017). Advances in point-of-care technologies with biosensors based on carbon nanotubes. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 91, 53–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2017.04.009
12. Kimmel, D. W., LeBlanc, G., & Meschievitz, M. E. (2021). Advances in biosensor development for point-of-care testing. Current Opinion in Electrochemistry, 30, 100814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2021.100814
13. Kumar, S., Nehra, M., Dilbaghi, N., & Marrazza, G. (2020). Nano-based biosensors for environmental monitoring: Review. Environmental Chemistry Letters, 18(2), 605–627. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-019-00944-1
14. Li, X., Liu, X., Xu, W., & Yu, H. (2021). Intelligent biosensors based on the integration of artificial intelligence and Internet of Things for healthcare. Sensors, 21(18), 6023. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21186023
15. Lowe, C. R. (2007). Bioelectronics: A review of biosensors and biologically inspired systems. Journal of Biotechnology, 82(3), 225–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1656(00)00212-4
16. Pereira, D. M., Dias, D., Silva, S., & Martins, M. M. (2018). Biosensor platforms for real-time monitoring of bioprocesses: Trends and challenges. Biotechnology Advances, 36(8), 2119–2131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2018.09.007
17. Pohanka, M. (2017). Overview of piezoelectric biosensors, immunosensors and DNA sensors and their applications. Materials, 10(9), 1129. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10091129
18. Pumera, M., Sánchez, S., Ichinose, I., & Tang, J. (2007). Electrochemical nanobiosensors. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 123(2), 1195–1205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2006.12.050
19. Ronkainen, N. J., Halsall, H. B., & Heineman, W. R. (2010). Electrochemical biosensors. Chemical Society Reviews, 39(5), 1747–1763. https://doi.org/10.1039/B714449K

20. Sackmann, E. K., Fulton, A. L., & Beebe, D. J. (2014). The present and future role of microfluidics in biomedical research. Nature, 507(7491), 181–189. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13118
21. Sethi, R. S. (2012). Transducer aspects of biosensors. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 9(2), 243–264.
22. Sharifuzzaman, M., Park, J. Y., & Yoon, H. (2023). Smart wearable sensors for health monitoring: State-of-the-art and future challenges. Biosensors, 13(1), 112. https://doi.org/10.3390/bios13010112
23. Turner, A. P. F. (2013). Biosensors: Sense and sensibility. Chemical Society Reviews, 42(8), 3184–3196. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CS35528D
24. Velusamy, V., Arshak, K., Korostynska, O., Oliwa, K., & Adley, C. (2010). An overview of foodborne pathogen detection: In the perspective of biosensors. Biotechnology Advances, 28(2), 232–254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2009.12.004
25. Yin, L., Cheng, H., Mao, X., Haasch, R., Liu, Y., Xie, T., ... & Rogers, J. A. (2021). Dissolvable metals for transient electronics. Advanced Functional Materials, 31(7), 2003761. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202003761
26. Yetisen, A. K., Akram, M. S., & Lowe, C. R. (2013). Paper-based microfluidic point-of-care diagnostic devices. Lab on a Chip, 13(12), 2210–2251. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3lc50169h
27. Zhou, L., Ding, L., & Li, B. (2020). Biosensors for environmental monitoring of heavy metals. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 165, 112424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2020.112424
28. Ray, T. R., et al. (2019). Bio-integrated wearable systems: a comprehensive review. Chemical Reviews, 119(8), 5461–5533. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00573
29. Kaushik, A. K., et al. (2022). Advancements in biosensor technologies for early diagnosis of infectious diseases. ACS Omega, 7(7), 5859–5870. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c06539
30. Kim, J., et al. (2019). Wearable biosensors for healthcare monitoring. Nature Biotechnology, 37, 389–406. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0045-y
31. Sun, A. C., & Hall, D. A. (2019). Point-of-care smartphone-based electrochemical biosensing. Electroanalysis, 31(1), 2–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.201800537

32. Yadav, S., et al. (2022). Biosensors for heavy metals detection in water: a review. Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring & Management, 18, 100717. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2022.100717
33. Zhang, Y., Nguyen, K. T., & Wang, L. (2019). Development of biosensors for detection of biomarkers for neurodegenerative diseases. ACS Sensors, 4(3), 479–492. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.8b01171
34. Su, X.-L., & Li, Y. (2004). A self-assembled monolayer-based piezoelectric immunosensor for rapid detection of Escherichia coli O157:H7. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 19(6), 563–574. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2003.06.004
35. . Andreou, V. G., & Clonis, Y. D. (2002). A portable fiber-optic pesticide biosensor based on immobilized cholinesterase and sol-gel entrapped bromocresol purple for in-field use. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 17(1–2), 61–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-5663(01)00252-4
36. Singh, A. K., & Singh, M. P. (2017). Biosensors: A promising tool for environmental monitoring. Environmental Technology & Innovation, 8, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2017.02.001
37. Xu, M., Wang, R., & Li, Y. (2017). Electrochemical biosensors for rapid detection of foodborne pathogens. Sensors, 17(4), 806. https://doi.org/10.3390/s17040806
38. Amine, A., Mohammadi, H., Bourais, I., & Palleschi, G. (2006). Enzyme inhibition-based biosensors for food safety and environmental monitoring. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 21(8), 1405–1423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2005.07.012
39. Vasilescu, A., Nunes, G., Hayat, A., Latif, U., & Marty, J. L. (2016). Electrochemical affinity biosensors for environmental analysis. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 85, 525–536. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2016.05.066
40. Yetisen, A. K., Akram, M. S., & Lowe, C. R. (2013). Paper-based microfluidic point-of-care diagnostic devices. Lab on a Chip, 13(12), 2210–2251. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3LC50169H
41. Patel, S., & Vyas, C. (2016). Biosensors: A comprehensive review and its role in cancer treatment. International Journal of Innovative Research in Electronics and Communications, 3(3), 23–32. https://doi.org/10.20431/2349-4050.0303004
42. Mulchandani, A., & Rogers, K. R. (1998). Enzyme and microbial biosensors: Techniques and protocols. Humana Press. https://doi.org/10.1385/0896034100

43. Pancrazio, J. J., Whelan, J. P., Borkholder, D. A., Ma, W., & Stenger, D. A. (1999). Development and application of cell-based biosensors. Annals of Biomedical Engineering, 27(6), 697–711. https://doi.org/10.1114/1.225